projecting some what-ifs.

2.4

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I thought it --

SEN. FRASER: I would suggest that my intention today is not to make a record. I'm not in any way trying to develop any kind of record, other than trying to inform my fellow other 30 senators that the bill that I'm laying out will increase voter participation rather than the people that believe that their vote is not going to count.

And so the expert witnesses that I have brought today are the Secretary of State to talk about the fact that we've got a problem, the Houston registrar that says that they've had a problem there in voter fraud. We've got people from Indiana to talk about the fact that after we implemented this law, they had the largest increase in Democratic votes in the nation. We've got two people from Georgia that are going to say that they had a huge increase in voter participation, and they're going to talk about the minority increases, because, evidently, Georgia had a huge increase because the Hispanic and the African-American voters were encouraged that their vote was, in fact, going to count.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But what years are you comparing, a huge increase from what year to what

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003970

JA 003393

year?

2.1

2.4

SEN. FRASER: You and I have been in politics a long time, and we know that presidential year elections are the comparison. So if you're comparing 2008, you would look at 2004. If you look at 2006, you would look at 2002. In Indiana after the bill was implemented, if you compare 2002 to 2006, after it went into place, there was a two percent increase in the voter participation. All of it came in democratic voters. There were three new congressional people elected in Indiana in 2006.

In 2008, during that same election cycle, the vote total in Indiana was over double the increase of next door Illinois. They had a 6.7 percent increase in all voting. It all came in the Democrat election. They had 6.9 percent in Indiana increase, even though Republican voting actually stayed stable or went down a little bit.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, we looked at the data, but we would disagree on the interpretation of the data because we, the Democrats, believe that that was the impact of President Obama, and that it was President Obama who brought out the African-Americans and the Hispanics and the minorities and that he was the motivation and the reason that there was such an

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003971

JA 003394

increase in turnout.

1.8

2.4

SEN. FRASER: I'm glad you brought that up, because the good thing is, we got Indiana here to verify that. But I think they're going to tell you that Obama was from Illinois. He was a senator from his home state next door where we had this repressive -- or the alleged oppressive voter ID bill that was put in place. The increase in Indiana was more than double the increase in Illinois, which was the president-elect's home state that he was serving in.

Just the obvious. Not only did they not depress voting, those voters were encouraged that their votes were going to count, and it doubled in Indiana over what it was in Illinois. So I would love for you to make that case, because I think it's going to show that just the opposite happened. I think they were encouraged to vote and they voted in great numbers.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: And perhaps if the voter ID hadn't been in place, the turnout would have tripled or quadrupled. So we don't know that, but we will look into it and pursue those issues with the expert voters. Thank you, Senator.

SEN. FRASER: All right.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003972

JA 003395

1.0

1.8

2.0

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Now, going back to the cost of this particular legislation, have you considered at all the cost to the State of Texas to participate in a lawsuit, to defend a lawsuit related to this particular bill if it passes?

SEN. FRASER: No. And I have -- the answer is no. As you know, it's part of the legislative process.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Yes.

SEN. FRASER: Any time the State of
Texas is litigated against, we have an obligation to
defend ourselves. And, as you know, since you've been
in the Legislature -- you have been here a long time
and you've seen it multiple times -- and if the
lawsuit is filed, then the state has to defend itself.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Have you considered at all the cost to the State of Texas to dealing with the challenge that would be issued with the Department of Justice regarding this particular legislation if it passes?

SEN. FRASER: I guess the question I would ask you, if you're asking me if I've looked at the cost, I would ask you the question, have you looked at if someone lost an election because someone cheated, because they misrepresented themself and they

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003973

JA 003396

1.3

weren't allowed to serve -- and one of the things we're going to be talking about is, there is somebody in this room today that won a very narrow election and would not be here today if someone had cheated on a very few votes.

So I guess the question I'll ask you, what is the cost of the State of Texas if someone is allowed to cheat, that would change history, someone else to represent them, there is a huge cost to the state in the fact that you change history by rigging an election.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But basically what we're focusing on at this point in the debate is the cost related to this particular bill, not to history and not to the future but the costs associated with this particular bill. On a related note, were any of your expert witnesses brought in at any expense to the State of Texas or the Senate in particular?

SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I've got two people asking questions. Please ask it again.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Were any of your expert witnesses for today brought in at the expense of the State of Texas or the Senate in particular?

SEN. FRASER: No.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: No?

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003974

JA 003397

1 SEN. FRASER: Were any of your expert 2 witnesses brought in at the expense of the state or 3 the expense of the Senate? 4 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: That is my question. 5 SEN. FRASER: No. I was asking you 6 that. 7 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Oh, I didn't bring in 8 any expert witnesses except one from Austin, who I 9 am --10 SEN. FRASER: None of my expert 11 witnesses were at the expense of the state. 12 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Good. 13 SEN. FRASER: Let me clarify that. 14 unless Coby Shorter is on expense report for driving 15 his car in to the Capitol this morning. I don't think 16 so. 17 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. Senator, 18 you talked about vote fraud and you referenced the 19 Duke of Duval and something that happened in 1948. 20 That's a long time ago. You and I discussed other 21 allegations of fraud, including one election in which 22 someone apparently bubbled in. The bubbles were 23 erased on ballots that the respective voters had not 2.4 bubbled in. 25 In other words, there were many ballots

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003975

JA 003398

2.0

that indicated that a voter had not voted in a particular race, and apparently someone else went in and bubbled in and, in effect, impacted the results of the race. In that particular race, there were allegations that there were more votes than ballots counted in a recount. But your bill would not have anything to do with correcting that kind of voter fraud that was alleged at that point, would it?

My opening comments, I said how did I move toward even starting thinking about this? And I reflected that in the history of the United States, there's been a lot of cases where there was either voter fraud, voter manipulation, stolen election, voter harvesting, that there is a history out there of people attempting to steal elections.

I also made the observation that that has moved people toward losing faith in the system. And if they lose faith in the system and they think their vote is not going to count, they don't go vote. That might have something to do with the fact that we have some elections that there's only eight percent of the people that vote because they have no faith that their vote is going to count.

I'm addressing one small area of the

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003976

JA 003399

1 law, and that is something that I think I can impact; 2 and that is, when Judy Zaffirini walks into your 3 polling place at home and you put your voter registration down there, I want them to know without a 4 5 doubt that that is Judith Zaffirini that is voting and not Tom Smith that is borrowing her -- or Thomasina 6 7 Smith borrowing -- it would probably be -- it would be 8 better if it was a woman, I quess, in the example. 9 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: That's all right. I 10 understand, Senator. Don't worry about it. 11 SEN. FRASER: If someone else is using 12 your card to vote, then I think you need that 13 assurance that you've got to make sure that when you 14 go to vote, that somebody has not been there, you 15 know, impersonating you, stealing your ability to 16 vote. 17 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Quite frankly, Senator, 18 that never ever crossed my mind, except in relation to 19 the point that you're making. But never ever did I 20 feel threated in any way. 21 My other question for you is, do you 22 have any examples at all of any Texas election in 23 which the outcome was impacted by voter impersonation? 2.4 SEN. FRASER: I'm going to wait until we 25 have all of our expert witnesses. They're going to

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003977

JA 003400

answer questions, and we're going to talk about the election system in Texas and the ability not only to impact elections but also the extreme difficulty in identifying that someone cheated, and prosecuting them.

(Brief pause)

1.0

2.4

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: You ready?

SEN. FRASER: Yes.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Senator, have you considered at all the questions that many of us have raised -- we who are Democrats, we who are minorities -- regarding the impact, the negative impact of this legislation on the turnout of minorities, specifically African-Americans and Mexican-Americans, and specifically in South Texas? Have you considered those concerns that we have raised?

SEN. FRASER: Senator, actually I considered a lot. And I think -- you know, first of all, I'm going to make a blanket statement: I want a large turnout of all Texans, and I want a large turnout of minorities, making sure that they are encouraged to vote.

And again, I would encourage you to listen to the testimony of Indiana and Georgia of what

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00003978
JA 003401

2.0

happened when they implemented a fair system where people were comfortable that their vote was going to count and what happened to the minority turnout. And so the answer to your question is, absolutely. I want to make sure that -- I want everyone in Texas to vote in large numbers, and I want the minorities, the African-Americans and the Hispanics, to increase their numbers.

And I really believe in my heart that the bill that I am laying out today will do that, because I think they are frustrated that their vote is not counting, that there are people cheating in the elections and have been cheating for a long time. And if they know that their vote is going to count, I think they'll be encouraged, and I think more will turn out. So the answer to your question is --

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I certainly have not seen evidence of that cheating that you're referring to, not in terms of voter impersonation. But I certainly will be interested in hearing if there is any. On the other hand, if our experts prove to you that your bill will have a negative impact on Mexican-Americans, on African-Americans, will you consider amendments to alleviate our concerns?

SEN. FRASER: Well, first of all, in

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003979

JA 003402

1.8

2.4

response to it, I have four different papers from academics around the country that address the issue that you're talking about of the fact that actually the minority -- impact is that minorities will turn out more, and it's from actual data of what's happened since these laws have been input.

Mr. Chairman, could I possibly move that these be added or entered into the record?

SEN. DUNCAN: You can do that at this time. I think we'll have -- those will be Exhibits -- what numbers? We'll bring them down to the front and mark them.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Senator.

I've asked you about the negative impact on MexicanAmericans, on Hispanics in general, on AfricanAmericans. Have you considered the negative impact on the elderly, specifically persons over the age of 65, and how they will be able to prove their identification? What about --

SEN. FRASER: Senator, I don't know about you, but I'm getting close to that range. And, obviously, I am concerned about people in that range. I'm concerned about my mother that is in a retirement center and are there, and I spend a lot of hours at the retirement center talking to those people,

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00003980
JA 003403

2.1

asking -- I've asked them -- you can't imagine the number of questions I've asked about the way they vote, what they're following -- you know, what the habits are.

And I think the assurance I can give to you is that, first of all, the bulk of the people that are over 65 -- some that have stopped driving -- the bulk of those and probably a high, high percentage vote by mail. I am not impacting that in this legislation. So everything they have done in the past in the ability to vote to mail stays exactly the same.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Have you considered a possible negative impact on persons with disabilities, including those who live in institutions such as nursing homes?

SEN. FRASER: And again, I guess I would throw my mother in that category. My mother is wheelchair-bound. I know that just even me trying to get her into my car to take to the doctor is a huge problem. She, you know, like most of her friends, votes by mail, and so she is in that category of the disabled. And her voting rights will continue, as will all of her friends in the retirement center.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Senator, going back to your bill, on Page 6, Line 14 of your bill, you

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003981

JA 003404

```
itemize --
 1
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm getting heckled over
 3
           People from the other side are moving over
     to -- he's trying to implement the egg-timer rule of
 4
 5
     three minutes.
                   SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I see.
 6
 7
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm for that.
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, on Page 6, Line
 8
 9
     14 of your bill, you list types of documentation that
10
     you acceptable as proof of identification under this
11
               In 2007, in House Bill 218 which you
     sponsored in the Senate, you included a student
12
13
     identification card as proof of identification, as
14
     acceptable documentation, but a student ID card is not
15
     included in your 2009 bill. Could you explain why?
16
                   SEN. FRASER: Senator, could I refer you
17
     to Section 6.
1.8
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What line, what page,
19
     Senator?
20
                    SEN. FRASER: It is -- just a second.
21
     The reference you're making is the public institutions
22
     of higher learning, the student ID card is still
23
     included. The wording changed, but it's covered by
24
     No. (6)(A).
25
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: So you're saying that
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00003982 JA 003405

```
on Page 6, beginning at Line 8 where it reads, "a
 1
 2
     valid identification card that contains the person's
     photograph and is issued by:
 3
 4
                         (A)
                              An agency or institution of
 5
     the federal government; or
                         (B)
                              An agency, institution, or
 6
 7
     political subdivision of this state," you're saying
 8
     that that would include institutions of higher
 9
     education and that, therefore, student identification
10
     cards would be acceptable proof of identification?
11
                    SEN. FRASER: Yes.
12
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINT: Good.
13
                    SEN. FRASER: Isn't that what that says?
14
     It says "an agency, institution or political
     subdivision of this state." The University of Texas
15
16
     is considered a subdivision of the state. It says
17
     that an identification card that contains a person's
1.8
     photograph that is issued by. I think the answer to
19
     your question is "Yes."
20
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. In your old
21
     bill -- I'm looking at it now -- you have this
22
     language -- and in addition to that, you specified the
23
     student identification card. But so long as you
24
     clarify your legislative intent, that's acceptable to
25
     me.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003983

JA 003406

But a related question, Senator: 1 2 that section, you list many, many types of acceptable 3 proof of identification, including a certified copy of 4 a birth certificate, United States citizenship papers, 5 an original or certified copy of the person's marriage license or divorce decree. And finally on Page 7, 6 7 Lines 1 and 2, you include court records of the 8 person's adoption, name change or sex change. Could 9 you explain why you included sex change as an 10 acceptable documentation and proof of identification? 11 SEN. FRASER: I believe we're going to 12 punt to the House sponsor. This was the language that 13 was passed out of the Texas House last year. 14 picked up the bill from an amendment that was added in 15 the House. And as our starting point, the legislation 16 that we never voted on last year that we brought over 17 from the House, that language is in there. So I guess 1.8 I would say I'm not advised. 19 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. And I'm 2.0 sure that you can find out why, perhaps, and answer me 21 on the floor --22 SEN. FRASER: Some of it was being inclusive. 23 2.4 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: -- through the Senate 25 debate.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003984

JA 003407

1.5

1.7

2.4

I do have a related question. Going back to our student identification card, that references public universities. But what about students in private institutions.

SEN. FRASER: Not included.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: They're not included. Was that an oversight? Do you intend to include them at a later date?

SEN. FRASER: The answer to that is that it's not an intentional exclusion. The concern on it is us not knowing every private institution in the state and the way their IDs are administered. A state institution, we have some input and control. And I guess the answer to that is, if you have a mechanism for that, I'm willing to listen. It is not — the answer is not that we're — we're not trying to prohibit. It's just that those particular groups, we don't have the ability to at least observe or regulate the IDs they're putting out.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But to summarize, then, and to make sure that I understands, a student identification card issued by a public institution would be considered proof of identification that is acceptable under your bill. But a student identification card issued by a private institution of

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003985

JA_003408

```
higher education would not be?
 1
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: As the bill is currently
 3
     written.
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you very much,
 4
 5
               I appreciate your courtesy.
     Senator.
                    SEN. FRASER:
 6
                                  Yes.
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Before we go to the next
 7
 8
     questioner, let me just kind of clarify the record. I
 9
     have some -- Sen. Shapleigh -- and I think if you're
10
     going to put something in the record, you need to
11
     identify it. Sen. Shapleigh had submitted Exhibit 6,
12
     which is the vote tally on the Gallegos motion to
13
     appeal the ruling of the Chair. Exhibit 7 is a
14
     document, "The Effects of Photographic Identification
15
     on Voter Turnout in Indiana," submitted by
     Sen. Fraser. Exhibit 8 is an article or a document
16
17
     entitled "Much-hyped [up] Turnout Record Fails to
18
     Materialize, Convenience Voting Fails to Boost
19
     Balloting." Exhibit 8. And then Exhibit 9 submitted
20
     by Sen. Fraser is "The Empirical Effects of Voter-ID
21
     Laws: Present or Absent?"
22
                    I think Exhibit No. -- yes, No. --
23
     that's all there are. So those will be in the record.
24
                   Exhibit 10 is "A Report of the Heritage
25
     Center for Data Analysis" submitted by Sen. Fraser.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00003986 JA 003409

```
1
                    (Exhibit Nos. 6 through 10 marked and
 2
     admitted)
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Sen. Ellis. Or I'm sorry.
 3
 4
     Sen. Whitmire.
 5
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 6
 7
                    Sen. Fraser, to clarify a few things
     that you mentioned earlier, you mentioned that Indiana
 8
 9
     and Georgia voting occurrence. What year was that?
10
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                  The Indiana voting, the
     first one was in 2006. The second was in 2008.
11
12
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: I think I --
13
                    SEN. FRASER: Excuse me a second.
                                                       And
14
     Georgia was in 2008.
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, don't you agree
15
16
     that everywhere in the country, every state had a
17
     greater participation this year, primarily because of
18
     the popularity of our presidential candidates and also
19
     the severe economic conditions? Particularly I would
20
     focus on Indiana. Why would you use the Indiana
2.1
     increase in voting as an indication of anything, other
22
     than they were very energized about the selection of
23
     candidates and because of their unemployment rate and
24
     their severe economic downturn? Wouldn't that --
25
                    SEN. FRASER: Senator, you're making
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003987

JA 003410

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

wonderful subjective argument. We have an objective person that is about to testify before us that will give you very clear answers that the Indiana guy knows --

SEN. WHITMIRE: Sure.

SEN. FRASER: -- what their results were and he knows what the surrounding states were.

SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, I haven't heard from him. I can only go by your trying to compare Indiana to Illinois, and that you said Indiana had such an increase over Illinois. And I think empirical data, would you not agree, would show that Indiana or Illinois always has high voter participation?

What also I would like to ask you, would you not agree that Georgia, it has been well recorded that the African-American vote this year, because of President Obama, was a significant increase in turnout? So I just really don't know if that's an indication that your new mechanism works so well in those two states.

And, in fact, I would ask you: Do you think it's even a reasonable comparison -- Georgia and Indiana with Texas -- when you look at our size, our diversity, our language issues? Why would you use those two to indicate what Texas is going to follow?

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003988

JA_003411

```
SEN. FRASER: You know, the great thing
 1
 2
     about this, Dean, is that we're allowed to bring in
 3
     experts from those states.
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: We're going to listen to
 4
 5
     them?
                    SEN. FRASER: We have the person that
 6
 7
     runs the elections in those states that can answer
 8
     your question. And I -- you know, I think it's great
 9
     that they're here today.
10
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, I assume they're
11
     partisan officials as well. How about elected
12
     officials? Did I not read your county clerk said in
1.3
     her long tenure as your county clerk had never seen
14
     anyone impersonating a voter in your own district?
15
     Did I not read that correctly?
16
                    SEN. FRASER: You know, someone reported
17
     to me that she had said that she had not identified
18
     it. But she also said she was supporting the bill --
19
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: She supports the bill.
20
                   SEN. FRASER: Just a second. You asked
     me the question; I get to answer it. She said that
2.1
22
     she supports the concept --
23
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: Sure.
24
                   SEN. FRASER: -- of voter
25
     identification.
                      The question was asked by the
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003989

JA 003412

```
1
     reporter, "Have you caught someone impersonating
 2
                The thing that she didn't add to that, that
     someone?"
 3
     if the reporter would have asked that, "Does the state
 4
     and your office have the mechanism to identify if
 5
     someone is voting illegally?" and here would be the
     example that I would use, is that if Tom Smith came in
 6
 7
     with Bill White's identification card and Bill White
 8
     is on the registration roll --
 9
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: I've heard it. You said
10
     it --
11
                    SEN. FRASER: But just a second.
12
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: You've done that two or
13
     three times.
                  I'm familiar with that. You used it
14
     earlier.
15
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 Okay.
16
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: And that leads me to my
17
     auestion.
18
                    SEN. FRASER: You wanted an answer to
19
     the question.
20
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: No. I understand.
21
     You've used about three examples of where someone runs
22
     to the mailbox and gets someone else's certificate and
23
     then runs and votes. It's the same identical example
24
     you're using right now, which leads me to a very
25
     specific question:
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00003990 JA 003413

Do you not know that that is against the 1 2 law and it's a third degree felony? 3 SEN. FRASER: Okay. And I would ask 4 you --5 SEN. WHITMIRE: What you're trying to address is against the law. And would it make a 6 7 difference to you and would you still be in favor of 8 your bill if I told you we can enhance that penalty? 9 SEN. FRASER: Dean, I think if we can 10 get past this portion of this, that y'all are asking 11 me questions that could be asked of an expert witness. 12 We have somebody from the Secretary of State's office 13 that is going to clarify: Is that possible and is it 14 possible to catch them and is it possible to 15 prosecute? And I think you're going to be surprised 16 at the answer. 17 SEN. WHITMIRE: No, I'm not going to be 18 surprised at the answer, because I've been running for 19 office 36 years. It's not only -- Troy, would you not 20 agree, my duty and your duty as public officials is to 21 prevent fraud, but we have a very special reason --22 because on the ballot. I have been in barnburners. I have been in close elections. I have tried to 23 24 identify voter fraud. And that leads me -- and it's 25 never existed in the tough races that I've been in.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003991

JA 003414

2.0

And I would suggest, can any one of the 31 senators document and demonstrate where voter fraud has been an issue in their election? I would suggest to you early on, perhaps in mail-in ballots, we were concerned. But on Election Day, there is safeguard after safeguard.

But I do agree with you -- and each and every one of us I think would agree -- if we could identify fraud, we would want to prosecute. But the interesting thing is, I'm going to ask you before I sit down, give me a recent occurrence of voter fraud.

SEN. FRASER: Johnny --

SEN. WHITMIRE: The cite in Duval County, that was the year I was born. Then you cited dead people voting. Would a voter ID have helped those people, prevented them from voting? Give me an example.

SEN. FRASER: I hate to keep giving you the answer, but you're about to have the registrar from Houston that's about to come up here and testify, and they're going to talk about the dead people that voted. And I'm going to show you --

SEN. WHITMIRE: That --

SEN. FRASER: Just a second. You asked a question. Right here in my records, I've got it

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003992

JA 003415

```
here, but I had rather wait on the expert witness, but
 1
 2
     this is a dead person that voted in person.
 3
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: Were they prosecuted?
     The person that voted them fraudulently, was that
 4
 5
     person prosecuted? And if she shows up and she didn't
 6
     file charges against them, we ought to all be
 7
     outraged. I'm just curious. What are you trying
 8
     to --
 9
                    SEN. FRASER: Ask that question.
10
                    SEN. WHITMIRE: What are you trying
11
     to --
12
                   SEN. FRASER: Ask that question of the
13
     witness.
14
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: I look forward to it.
15
     This is my concern: What are you trying to fix? Can
16
     you point to a recent fraudulent act that would
17
     justify us changing the Senate rules, having a special
18
     order, not addressing property tax increases, highway
19
     funding? What are you trying to address that is such
20
     a high priority?
21
                    SEN. FRASER: John, this, you know --
22
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: No. I'm really serious.
23
                   SEN. FRASER: I know. But --
24
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: We just went through a
25
     historical election --
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233
TX_00003993

TX_00003993 JA 003416

SEN. FRASER: Do you want me to answer? 1 2 Would you like for me to answer or do you want to 3 interrupt me? SEN. WHITMIRE: Yes, yes. What are you 4 5 fixing that would shove everything else aside and take this up today? 6 7 SEN. FRASER: This is not rocket 8 What I am fixing is the very real: Is there science. 9 a possibility -- of which we're going to show that it 10 is -- that someone could steal your registration card 11 and they could go and vote, representing to be you, 12 and that there is no way to identify when it's 13 happening. And once it happens, it's almost 14 impossible then to prosecute after the fact. 15 SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. Let me ask you 1.6 this -- and I look forward to hearing our witness. 17 Let me ask you this: When you compare Indiana and 18 Georgia, are you familiar at all with how they conduct their elections in terms of their poll workers, their 19 20 training, their compensation, any qualifications to 21 hold an election? What are the requirements in 22 Georgia, Indiana, relative to our qualifications? 23 SEN. FRASER: Dean, one of the great 24 things -- the answer I just gave Sen. Zaffirini -- the 25 great thing about this process, we bring in expert

> KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00003994 JA 003417

witnesses from those states.

SEN. WHITMIRE: Yes.

SEN. FRASER: They know the answers to those questions. My expert witness is about -- they'll answer that exact question that you have.

SEN. WHITMIRE: Because I think what you're going to find is, in these other states, they compensate them in a greater detail, they have training for them. And we depend on volunteers, often our senior citizens. And often we have precincts in Harris County that we literally cannot find people to serve, and we actually merge and combine precincts because of the lack of individuals available to run these elections. And then you're proposing an elaborate documentation.

SEN. FRASER: Dean, you're my friend.

respect your right to ask this. But the last three

persons have all asked the same questions. And my

responses have been the same: We have expert

witnesses that are about to show up that can answer

your questions. And I guess I tell you no matter how

many ways you ask it, my response is going to be the

same. I think we need to cut this off and start the

witnessing.

SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, I look forward to

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003995 JA_003418

2.4

talking to the person from Harris County. And if someone fraudulently voted for someone who is deceased, I would hope we find out why they weren't prosecuted. And I would also -- as we continue, I wish you would ask your witnesses for the most recent incidence of voter fraud that they're familiar with and the outcome in terms of prosecution.

We've had a senator -- Sen. Williams was rightfully concerned about some allegations he had heard. The same question was to him at that moment a couple of months ago: Were the people prosecuted? I think we've got the toughest Penal Code in the United States, 10 to 20 for fraudulently voting for someone. And I think we ought to actively prosecute them, because none of us want to participate in a campaign or serve in a body that is governed or controlled or influenced by voter fraud.

I don't think it exists. And I think the harm is being done because we're not in Finance this afternoon, we're not dealing with Texas Youth Commissions this afternoon, we're dealing in fighting something that does not exist. And as it is going to be documented by our witnesses, going to create a hardship for thousands of Texans.

SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Ellis?

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00003996 JA_003419

1 SEN. ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. President. 2 Senator, I know you're tired. I'll try 3 not to take too long. One question I want to ask you 4 is about the provisional ballot. You said when you 5 first began answering questions from Sen. Watson, that everyone would be able to vote, no one would be turned 6 7 Now, how would that process work if someone doesn't have the forms of identification that are laid 8 9 out in your bill. 10 SEN. FRASER: I believe Coby Shorter is 11 right over here. He's going to be coming up to visit 12 with you in just a second. He will give you all that 13 And I believe our expert witnesses -- and I think I told Sen. Watson that. 14 SEN. ELLIS: Well, now, here is what I'm 15 16 I know you're tired, Senator. getting at: 17 SEN. FRASER: No. I'm doing good. 18 SEN. ELLIS: The only reason I'm asking 19 you this is because you're carrying the bill, not your 20 resource witnesses, so it's not personal. Here is 2.1 what I'm getting at: I'm assuming, the way I read 22 your bill, if somebody does not have the forms of ID 23 you lay out, they will be told, "You can cast a 2.4 provisional ballot." So here is my question: When 25 does that ballot get counted? What does it take for

> KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00003997 JA 003420

1 that provisional ballot to be counted? 2 SEN. FRASER: And when my witness comes 3 up -- that is the Assistant Secretary of State -- they will tell you the procedure that is used for that. 4 5 SEN. ELLIS: Okav. I'm quessing, but I assume that if somebody does that have that ID and 6 7 they cast a provisional ballot, the burden is on them. 8 The bill doesn't lay it out, but I assume the burden 9 is on them to then go home or go somewhere and prove 10 who they are or that ballot will not be counted. And 11 that's what my question was. 12 SEN. FRASER: Let me ask you, if you 13 were getting on an airplane and you didn't take your 14 ID, is the burden on the airport to run to your house 15 to get your ID for you? 16 Well, here is a minor SEN. ELLIS: 17 distinction. I don't have a constitutional right to 18 get on an airplane. That's a big difference. Let me 19 give you, if I might, a few other points, Senator. 20 You used Georgia a number of times as, I quess, a 21 building block for this legislation. Is that a fair 22 assessment? You were saying that they do this in 23 Georgia, Georgia is comparable to Texas? 2.4 SEN. FRASER: I don't know that we use 25 it as a building block. I said that they have passed

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00003998

JA 003421

2.4

a near identical bill. It has passed DOJ. It has passed the court system and been put into law. And they've had an election cycle. And we have the voting — the people that ran the election in Georgia, here. And I guess I would lay it out that I think the facts will speak for themselves. I don't think I'm laying out Georgia as an example; I think Georgia is their own example.

SEN. ELLIS: Well, I want to make sure that you and other members do understand a basic distinction between Georgia and Texas. The State of Texas is the third minority -- majority minority state in the country -- new Mexico, California and then Texas. Georgia's population at best, Hispanic population, may be 7, may be 9 percent. There is a big distinction between Georgia and Texas.

When you came up, Senator, you made reference to -- I guess giving us a history lesson about voter fraud issues, and you mentioned Duval County in particular. And being a proud graduate of the LBJ School and a beneficiary of the great legislation that President Johnson signed into law after an historic march that went on this past weekend across the Edmund Pettis Bridge, I want to give you a little bit of a history lesson.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00003999

JA 003422

Do you have any idea in what year the 1 2 State of Texas enacted the poll tax? 3 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm not I don't have that number. 4 5 SEN. ELLIS: The State of Texas enacted the poll tax in 1901. Do you have any idea when the 6 7 Democratic Party, not just in Texas but in a number of 8 states, enacted the while-only primary system where 9 you have to be white in order to vote in a primary? 10 SEN. FRASER: Still I'm sorry. I don't have that number. 11 12 SEN. ELLIS: 1923. It was not abolished 13 until 1944. The poll tax, of course, was not 14 abolished until 1966. I want to say that to you, 15 Senator, because when this bill didn't open up, 1885 or whenever it opened up, there were people who sat in 16 17 desks, these desks, in chairs not quite as comfortable 18 as the ones that you and I are sitting in today, or 19 standing on this floor, didn't have this nice carpet, 20 something, didn't have the padding under it. But 21 decisions were made over the history of this state 22 which is why we have to be pre-cleared before making 23 this change or any other one. 24 Now, Georgia is similar to Texas in one 25 It, alone with a number of other southern way.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004000

JA 003423

1.0

1.8

2.4

states, do have to be pre-cleared because of their legacy of putting hurdles in the path of people to be able to vote.

SEN. FRASER: We recognize they are a Section 5 voting rights state, that the two states are, you know, alike in that way.

mentioned that the Department of Justice pre-cleared Georgia's voter ID plan. You do know Georgia had not one but two voter identification bills. You are aware of that, I assume. The first bill that Georgia had was pre-cleared by the Justice Department by someone who is one of your witnesses today, by the way, a political appointee at the Justice Department. And then the state and federal courts struck it down, and then Georgia went back and redid their voter identification law. Are you aware of how much they spent on informing voters how to comply with their voter identification law in Texas?

SEN. FRASER: And again, same answer

I've given the last three, now you're fourth, is that

my witness from Georgia is very prepared to go over

the details of that rather than you asking me, because

I can't be an expert on the Georgia law.

SEN. ELLIS: And only -- as painful as

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004001

JA 003424

1 it is to do it, particularly with you being my desk 2 mate -- it is your bill -- Georgia spends \$500,000. 3 Now, I'm only making that point because you put Georgia on our mind, not me. Georgia is probably --4 5 SEN. FRASER: I --SEN. ELLIS: -- a great state, might be 6 7 one-fifth, one-sixth the size of Texas. They spent a 8 half a million dollars a year to make sure people know 9 the provisions under that law. Senator, you made 10 reference to the Carter-Baker Commission when you 11 initially started. Do you know the genesis of that 12 commission? Do vou know --13 SEN. FRASER: We're about to have a lady 14 come up here in just a minute that is from that 15 commission that I bet will give us the entire genesis. 16 SEN. ELLIS: I'm going to ask her a lot 17 of questions. The only reason I raise it to you is, 18 sometimes -- not all the time, but sometimes these 19 senators tend to listen to other senators, 20 particularly the person who is carrying the bill, as 21 opposed to somebody who has testified for it. 22 That bill was created, that commission 23 was created in part to try and restore confidence in 2.4 the American electoral system, not just in our eyes 25 but in the eyes of people all around the world,

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004002

JA 003425

2.4

Senator, because of the election of 2000 in which a lot of people think there were serious problems in that election of 2000, and that's why this Commission was established, a very bipartisan commission.

Even if President Jimmy Carter and
Secretary Baker were on different sides in their 2000
race, they realized, when developing nations were
saying, "Y'all need to have President Carter send a
group down here to monitor elections in America,"
instead of going to developing countries. Do you have
any idea who some of the other people were Senator, on
the Carter-Baker Commission?

SEN. FRASER: I bet we're going to hear that from my expert witness.

SEN. ELLIS: Raul Yzaguirre. I mention that because he's one of the most noted Hispanic civil rights leaders in the country. I don't mention that to help your side to this argument. Also former Congressman Bob Michel, a very distinguished group of American citizens from both sides of the aisle. Do you have any idea, Senator, how many pages were in the Carter-Baker Commission Report?

SEN. FRASER: I didn't get that quite. How many what?

SEN. ELLIS: 130. I only read -- 113. I

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004003

JA 003426

```
was going to say, I thought it was 115. If you count
 1
 2
     the nice pictures in the back --
 3
                    SEN. FRASER: Are you --
                    SEN. ELLIS: 115 pages.
                                             Senator, do vou
 4
 5
     have any idea how many recommendations there were in
     the Carter-Baker Commission Report?
 6
 7
                    SEN. FRASER: I would suspect that the
     lady coming up from the Carter-Baker Commission could
 8
 9
     possibly have that information if you asked her.
10
                    SEN. ELLIS: Do you have any idea what
11
     the real name of that Commission was?
12
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised.
1.3
                    SEN. ELLIS: It was the Help America
14
     Vote Act and the Voting Rights Act.
                                           That's what the
15
     Commission was created for. Senator --
16
                    SEN. FRASER: And I would suspect that
17
     the recommendations was made for vote identification
18
     that increased the voter turnout in Indiana and in
19
     Georgia for record turnout, they were successful that
20
     they encouraged -- "We're going to help people vote,"
21
     and they encouraged people to feel more comfortable
22
     about their voting rights.
23
                    SEN. ELLIS: But --
2.4
                   SEN. FRASER: And I suspect my witnesses
25
     that you're going to hear are going to tell you that.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00004004 JA_003427

2.1

You referenced a <u>New York Times</u> editorial a little earlier. I'm going to try to be a little more balanced than you were, my desk mate, in reading your provision from that editorial, that op ed by President Carter and Secretary Baker. It was titled, "A Clearer Picture on Voter ID," February 3, 2008. Here is just a snippet that I think gives a pretty good --

SEN. FRASER: Is that about a snippet?

SEN. ELLIS: -- of both sides of the issue. It says in the fourth paragraph, "No state has yet accepted our proposal. What's more, when it comes to ID laws, confusion reigns. The laws on the books, mainly backed by Republicans, have not made" -- I don't want to lick my finger here and try to turn this page, so don't give me a hard time -- "have not made it easy for voters to acquire an ID. At the same time, Democrats have tended to try to block voter ID legislation outright -- instead of seeking to revise that legislation to promote accessibility."

Here is the point that they were trying to make, Senator. Out of those 113, or 115 pages if you count the pictures, they had a series of things to help Americans have more confidence in their voting system and also to encourage more people to vote,

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004005

JA_003428

```
things like say their registration, restoration of
 1
 2
     ex-offenders' right to vote, states spending
 3
     significant amounts of money in educating people on
 4
     how to vote. And, Senator, the most important part
 5
     was having a uniform, universal form of
     identification, HAVA, as we have referred to it a
 6
 7
     number of times.
 8
                    Sen. Estes, my other desk mate here, may
 9
     have forgotten this. But last session you were quoted
10
     in the paper as saying, "It will cost too much money
11
     for the State of Texas to comply with the HAVA
12
     legislation."
13
                    What I'm saying to you, Senator, is I
14
     don't think it's appropriate to pick and choose which
15
     parts of the Carter-Baker recommendation, their
16
     report, you want to implement, because when you do,
1.7
     you don't do justice to it. Senator, you made
18
     reference to not using this legislation to impact
19
     mail-in ballots. Why?
20
                    SEN. FRASER: Is this a question or were
21
     you --
22
                    SEN. ELLIS:
                                 Why is it that your bill
23
     does not touch mail-in ballots?
2.4
                    SEN. FRASER: Why?
25
                    SEN. ELLIS:
                                 Yes.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004006

JA 003429

```
SEN. FRASER: Well, it's like a lot of
 1
 2
     legislation we pass. We fix a piece of the puzzle at
 3
              The mail-in ballot is a huge problem. It is
     something absolutely that at some point we're going to
 4
 5
     have to address.
                    SEN. ELLIS: Senator --
 6
 7
                    SEN. FRASER: But today I'm addressing
 8
     the recommendation of -- the Carter-Baker Commission
 9
     recommended that we put in voter photo ID legislation.
10
     And I'm moving toward what other states have done,
11
     which is Indiana and Georgia, that I'm taking a baby
12
     step today toward that. But I think what I'm doing
13
     will encourage all turnout, but more especially
14
     minority turnout in Texas.
15
                   SEN. ELLIS: You made reference to Steve
16
     Wolens' comment earlier. Are you aware that the
17
     reference, the comment that you are taking was
18
     referring to mail-in ballots?
19
                   SEN. FRASER: Absolutely. And I would
20
     also remind you, you voted for that bill --
21
                   SEN. ELLIS: Oh, I did.
22
                   SEN. FRASER: -- because he had been
23
     fraud -- his exact statement was, is "They are
2.4
     harvesting" --
25
                   SEN. ELLIS:
                                 Yes.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004007 JA 003430

```
SEN. FRASER: -- "votes to steal the
 1
 2
     election in a democratic primary in Dallas, Texas,"
 3
     and he brought that forward. And you're one of the
 4
     persons that voted for that --
 5
                   SEN. ELLIS: That's correct.
                   SEN. FRASER: -- bill, as I did --
 6
 7
                   SEN. ELLIS:
                                 Senator, you --
 8
                   SEN. FRASER: -- to address voter fraud.
 9
                    SEN. ELLIS: Are you aware of how a
1.0
     puzzle works?
11
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry?
12
                   SEN. ELLIS: Are you aware of how a
13
     puzzle works, a puzzle, p-u-z-z-l-e, puzzle?
14
                   SEN. FRASER: Puzzle?
15
                   SEN. ELLIS: Yes.
                   SEN. FRASER: Well, if you're asking,
16
1.7
     maybe I don't.
1.8
                   SEN. ELLIS: If you take a certain piece
19
     of what someone has said out of context, Senator, what
20
     you're doing is distorting what they intended to say.
21
     So here is my point: Your bill does not touch mail-in
22
     ballots. You used a quote by Steve Wolens to try and
23
     augment your position. Your bill does not touch
24
     mail-in ballots. Most of the cases of fraud that your
25
     witnesses are going to talk about are going to involve
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00004008 JA 003431

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

mail-in ballots, but this bill does not do anything to touch that.

SEN. FRASER: Again, you're being subjective. Let's wait for the objective testimony of the witnesses. You're being a good lawyer and projecting what the witnesses might say. And I would suggest probably the thing we should do is listen to the testimony that's given, and you will have the right to question them. But I think the witnesses are going to make a very clear case that we've got a huge problem in Texas. There's a huge gap in Texas law. There's every possibility for someone to walk in and vote -- Craig Estes could take your voter ID and register himself in Houston in a ballot box that they don't, you know, know him. And he could register as Rodney Ellis and he could vote for Rodney Ellis. That could happen if, you know --

SEN. ELLIS: Senator, in your mind, just based on your best guess, do you think that this bill would have a more positive impact on one party or the other in terms of Democrats or Republicans?

SEN. FRASER: I'm not going to project about who might benefit from the passage of this. But I wish you would listen to the results in Georgia and Indiana. And I don't want to put words in their

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004009

JA 003432

```
mouth, but I've seen the numbers. The ones in Georgia
 1
 2
     and the ones in Indiana, the increase, the greatest
 3
     increase came in Democratic votes, it came in
     minorities. And I hope -- in Texas my hope would be,
 4
 5
     I want African-American votes to increase in Texas.
     That's my goal. And if I can create something for
 6
 7
     vour voters --
 8
                   SEN. ELLIS: This bill is going to
     increase the number of African-Americans and Hispanics
 9
10
     that vote in Texas?
11
                   SEN. FRASER: Absolutely.
12
                   SEN. ELLIS: That's what you believe?
                   SEN. FRASER: I do believe that; yes, I
13
14
     do.
15
                   SEN. ELLIS: You made reference to the
16
     last election in terms of the turnout increasing. Do
17
     you think that that record increase had anything to do
18
     with Barack Obama being the Democratic nominee and
19
     Sen. McCain, John McCain being the Republican nominee?
20
                   SEN. FRASER: Let me ask you this a
21
     different way. Did Barack Obama run in Illinois and
22
     Indiana both? Did he run in both states?
23
                   SEN. ELLIS: Senator --
24
                   SEN. FRASER: Was he from -- since you
25
     asked me the question, I get to answer.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004010

JA 003433

```
SEN. ELLIS:
                                 I can --
 1
 2
                   SEN. FRASER: You said was the --
 3
                   SEN. ELLIS: I can assure you the people
 4
     in Illinois knew Barack Obama was going to win the
 5
     State of Illinois. I can assure you that.
 6
                   SEN. FRASER: You're saying they didn't
 7
     feel comfortable voting for him because they knew him?
 8
                    SEN. ELLIS: No, I'm not saying that at
 9
     all. Let you ask you this: Do you know what the
1.0
     increase in vote was in Texas?
11
                   SEN. FRASER: All the questions you're
12
     covering is the same thing that was asked about the
13
     last four -- you're the fifth person.
14
                                 600,000 additional votes.
                   SEN. ELLIS:
15
                   SEN. FRASER: We're going to have
16
     witnesses from Indiana and Georgia --
17
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Senators, you're taking
18
     over each other and the court reporter only has two
19
     hands.
20
                   SEN. ELLIS: Okay. You all right?
21
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm going to vacate the
22
     premise so you can talk.
23
                   SEN. ELLIS: I think I've completed my
24
                 I think I've made the point. I would like
     questions.
25
     to ask the author of the bill about a historic bill
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004011 JA 003434

```
that he's carrying that in my judgment would
 1
 2
     negatively impact minority voters in Texas.
 3
                    SEN. FRASER: As you know, the way this
     system works, we have witnesses come in, they tell
 4
 5
     their story, you get to ask them questions.
 6
     that's over, I'll close, we'll have a vote. It will
 7
     come to the floor. If I'm successful, once we get on
 8
     the floor and I lay it out, we get to do this again.
 9
     And I would suspect at some point you and I will have
10
     a discussion. But I would like for you to ask the
11
     questions of the expert witnesses, because I think
12
     you're going to be shocked at what they say about what
13
     the impact would be on minority voting in both those
14
     states, what happened and how it would be increased.
15
                    SEN. ELLIS: All right. Thank you.
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Davis?
16
1.7
                    SEN. DAVIS: Sen. Fraser --
18
                    SEN. FRASER: You didn't get the rule
19
     about freshmen?
                    SEN. DAVIS: No. I'm sorry. I didn't
20
2.1
     get that rule.
22
                    (Laughter)
23
                    SEN. FRASER: I'll be glad to accept
2.4
     your questions.
25
                    SEN. DAVIS:
                                 Thank you.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233
TX_00004012

TX_00004012 JA 003435

2.0

2.1

Would you agree that the provisions of the Carter-Baker comprehensive proposal are proposals that you are using in support for the legislation that you have introduced on this issue?

SEN. FRASER: Senator, I took the information that I read -- I read the report; I read what they included in print; I read their press release -- I took my highlighter and highlighted things that came from either what they had written down and they put their name on, and I repeated and read. I didn't project what I thought Jimmy Carter was thinking or what Jim Baker was thinking. I read what they put in print in that, and that's what I did today. There is going to be someone here hopefully, if we ever get to that point, from that Commission that you can ask that exact question.

SEN. DAVIS: You made a statement a moment ago that in this legislation that you have proposed, you are addressing the recommendations of the Carter-Baker Report for voter ID, did you not?

SEN. FRASER: Say that again.

SEN. DAVIS: You made a statement previously, when you were speaking with Sen. Ellis, that you are addressing the recommendations of the carter-Baker Report for purposes of proposing your

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004013

JA_003436

1 voter ID bill? 2 SEN. FRASER: I am proposing a voter ID law and laying it out. I used as a reference a 3 4 document that is in the public spectrum, something 5 that I've pulled off -- I think off the Internet, of that report. I highlighted a statement that was made, 6 7 and I read that statement. 8 SEN. DAVIS: And you mentioned that you 9 highlighted not only that report but you also 10 highlighted the editorial of February 3, 2008, titled 11 "A Clearer Picture on Voter ID." Correct? 1.2 SEN. FRASER: What was the last part of 13 But what? that? 1.4 SEN. DAVIS: You mentioned a moment ago, 15 when you had your highlighter out, you went through 16 the report, the Baker-Carter Report. You also went 17 through an editorial that was written by both of them 18 in February of 2008, and you also made highlights to that editorial? 19 20 SEN. FRASER: I highlighted a lot. 21 SEN. DAVIS: But you highlighted those? 22 SEN. FRASER: Well, I can get my book out and I can show you what I highlighted, yes. 23 2.4 SEN. DAVIS: I'm curious as to whether, 25 when you had your highlighter out, you highlighted

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00004014
JA 003437

```
1
     this particular statement that was made in their
 2
     editorial, that the groups least likely to have valid
 3
     photo IDs are women, African-Americans and Democrats.
 4
     Did you highlight that statement?
 5
                   SEN. FRASER: I am not advised. I'm
             I don't have that. I did not say that. Did
 6
     sorry.
 7
     you hear me say that?
 8
                   SEN. DAVIS: No. I'm asking you whether
 9
     you highlighted that.
1.0
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm not --
11
                   SEN. DAVIS: Do you remember reading
12
     that?
13
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry?
14
                   SEN. DAVIS: Do you recall reading that
15
     from their editorial, that the groups least likely to
16
     have valid photo IDs are women, African-Americans and
17
     Democrats?
18
                   SEN. FRASER: The answer is yes. And
19
     again, it's the question that Sen. Ellis just asked,
20
     is that it was included in the paragraph above and
21
     below. But I think I do remember seeing that in that
     editorial.
22
23
                   SEN. DAVIS: Do you recall also seeing
24
     their statement that the current crop of laws,
25
     including those that we've been discussing today --
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004015 JA 003438

```
SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm sorry.
 1
 2
     know I have a hearing problem, and I'm only getting
 3
     about half of what you're saying. So if you can --
 4
                    SEN. DAVIS:
                                 I'm sorry. I will speak
 5
     up.
                    SEN. FRASER: I have trouble -- I'm
 6
 7
     sorry -- sometimes with women's voices, and I'm just
 8
     not getting it.
 9
                    SEN. DAVIS: I will speak up.
10
                    Do you call reading in that editorial
11
     that they also stated that the current crop of laws
12
     are not being phased in gradually and in a fair manner
13
     that would increase rather than decrease voter
1.4
     participation?
15
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm not getting it.
                                                      I'm
16
     sorry.
17
                    SEN. ELLIS: I thought I heard my wife's
1.8
             Was she calling me?
     voice.
19
                    SEN. FRASER: My wife says this is a
20
     trained response.
21
                    SEN. DAVIS: Would you like me to repeat
22
     my last question?
23
                   SEN. FRASER: Please.
24
                   SEN. DAVIS: Do you recall reading in
25
     the editorial a statement made by Secretary Baker and
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004016

JA 003439

2.2

2.4

former President Carter that the current crop of laws that are being put in place by states are not being phased in gradually and in a fair manner that would increase not reduce voter participation?

SEN. FRASER: No, I do not remember seeing that.

SEN. DAVIS: You also stated that you read the report and that you highlighted particular provisions of that report in formulating your bill that you have proposed today and your reasons for supporting that bill.

SEN. FRASER: I don't think I said that at all. I didn't read that report in formulating my bill. The bill that I laid out is very, very straightforward. All it says is that when Wendy Davis goes to vote, they want to know -- they want to see your picture ID or other forms of identification to verify that you are who you say you are and that -- it's not rocket science.

SEN. DAVIS: When you began your comments on the floor today in laying out your bil!, you quoted from the Carter-Baker Report as well as from the editorial that I read from a moment ago. In answering Sen. Ellis' questions, you said you were addressing the recommendations of the Carter-Baker

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004017

JA 003440

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Report in implementing your proposal for voter ID. SEN. FRASER: I don't think I said that. I said -- I think I referenced things that were said in that report. I referenced the Supreme Court Justice, John Paul Stevens, again a left-leaning Supreme Court Justice that wrote the majority report that validated the Virginia -- or the Indiana law that put in place a strict photo ID. I referenced that that had been done, their comments. I referenced the Commission, things that they had said. I referenced the bill that was proposed by Rep. Steve Wolens, a Democrat in Dallas near your area that was -- or may be in your district, where he suggested that with vote harvesting and voter fraud, those are all stories that were -- that I had data on.

SEN. DAVIS: In referencing the Carter-Baker Report, I wonder if you came across this statement by them: "To prevent the ID from being a barrier to voting, we recommend that states use the registration and ID process to enfranchise more voters than ever." Do you recall that?

SEN. FRASER: Why don't you ask that question of the expert witness that I have informed the last five Senators that are coming up, that I don't have any idea what she is going to say. She is

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004018

JA_003441

```
1
     going to be under oath, and she would love to answer
 2
     your questions that you're asking, and I think that is
 3
     where we should go from here.
                                 Well, for purposes of
 4
                    SEN. DAVIS:
 5
     discussion or furthering our discussion and my
     questions for you, let me read some of the
 6
 7
     recommendations that Carter-Baker report made.
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: Are you going to ask me
 9
     questions --
10
                    SEN. DAVIS: I'm going to ask you
11
     questions.
12
                    SEN. FRASER: -- or are you going to --
13
     you have the right at any time to put stuff on the
14
     record.
              But you --
15
                    SEN. DAVIS:
                                 I'm going to ask you
16
     questions.
17
                    SEN. FRASER: -- had asked the Chairman
18
     if you could ask me questions.
19
                    SEN. DAVIS: I'm going to ask you
20
     questions.
2.1
                    SEN. FRASER: Thank you.
22
                    SEN. DAVIS: "States should play an
23
     affirmative role in reaching out to non-drivers by
24
     providing more offices . . . " That's one of the
25
     recommendations in this report. Does the bill that
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00004019

2.0

you have placed in front of us today on voter ID have an affirmative role for states in recommending that they reach out to non-drivers by providing more offices?

SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm not even getting close to following the question you're asking. The bill that I'm laying out today says that when Wendy Davis walks into the voting booth, you've got to prove that you're really Wendy Davis. It's that simple.

SEN. DAVIS: And the bill does not include a request of the state that it open more offices for the purposes of obtaining a photo ID for non-drivers, it does not include that proposal.

Correct?

SEN. FRASER: They can use non-photos.

I mean, there is no provision right now, there is nothing in the bill that every person in the state could not comply with, because you can use a piece of mail that had been mailed to you, your library card. There's a long, long list of things that you could use. You could even use as your piece of identification the mail that the registrar sent to you for your voter registration. That's a form of identification.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004020

JA 003443

SEN. DAVIS: And I'm going to ask you 1 2 about that list in a moment. Let me ask you another 3 question about what your bill includes. Does your 4 bill include a proposal that the state should create 5 mobile offices for the purpose of reaching out to persons without photo ID, to create those photo IDs? 6 7 SEN. FRASER: Would you ask that 8 question again, please? 9 SEN. DAVIS: Yes. Does the bill that 10 you're proposing include a request of the state that 11 they create mobile offices that would go out into the 12 communities for purposes of helping voters obtain 13 photo IDs? 14 SEN. FRASER: Were you here earlier when 15 that question was asked by another senator? 16 SEN. DAVIS: I do not recall that 17 question being asked. I would appreciate it if you 18 would answer it. 19 SEN. FRASER: The Secretary of State has been asked to come here. The Secretary of State will 20 2.1 implement this transaction. The wording of the bill that is in the bill is very clear of what we would ask 22 23 them to do. The implementation of that would be, you 24 can ask the Secretary of State, please. 25 SEN. DAVIS: Okay. But I'm asking you

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004021

JA_003444

```
if your bill includes that proposal?
 1
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: My bill has language that
 3
     clarifies that there will be an education program of
 4
     the people to implement this bill. It lays out the
 5
     instructions on that, but it will leave it to the
 6
     Secretary of State to implement.
 7
                    SEN. DAVIS: Does your bill contain a
 8
     proposal that would provide the ability for voters to
 9
     register and to provide photo IDs to those voters free
10
     of charge?
11
                    SEN. FRASER: Try it again. I didn't
12
     get it.
13
                    SEN. DAVIS:
                                 Does your bill include a
14
     proposal that would allow voters to register and be
15
     provided photo IDs free of charge?
16
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 The photo ID is free of
17
     charge.
18
                                 It is free of charge?
                    SEN. DAVIS:
19
                    SEN. FRASER: Yes.
20
                    SEN. DAVIS: Who is going to pay for
21
     that?
22
                    SEN. FRASER: We are advised that --
23
     first of all, that there are very few people that
2.4
     would need that, is that the bulk of the population of
25
     Texas already has a driver's license or a photo ID.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004022

JA 003445

1.0

1.5

2.4

And we're advised that, you know, the cost of that would be implemented through -- I guess it's DPS. So it is built into the budget.

You know, I know you're new to the Legislature. But the fiscal impact on the bill, that is determined if there is a cost to the state. And they said there is no impact, because it could be absorbed in current budget.

SEN. DAVIS: Believe it or not, I understand that fiscal impact is based on whether there is a cost to the state. What I'm asking you is if your bill proposes free voter ID cards that could be made to anyone who requests them and whether there has been a cost put to that proposal?

SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm getting members, people talking to me. Try it again, please. I can't hear you.

SEN. DAVIS: I'm asking you, in your bill, the bill that you've authored, the bill that you've laid out, the bill that you are standing in front of us defending today, is there a proposal in your bill that anyone who wishes to register to vote would be provided a voter ID card free of charge?

SEN. FRASER: The answer is yes, that anyone that is a registered voter will be given a

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004023

JA_003446

```
photo ID free of charge. So I think the answer to
 1
 2
     your question is yes.
 3
                    SEN. DAVIS: And yet, do you have any
     idea how many people might come forward and request a
 4
     free voter ID?
 5
                    SEN. FRASER: I would suggest you ask
 6
 7
     the Secretary of State that.
                    SEN. DAVIS: I will. But I'm asking
 8
 9
     you, because it's your bill. I'm asking you. Do you
10
     have any idea?
11
                    SEN. FRASER: And I'm responding to you
12
     that I'm going to punt to the Secretary of State
13
     because they're the ones that keep that data.
14
                    SEN. DAVIS: Was that question asked of
15
     you by the LBB when they were preparing the fiscal
     note for your bill?
16
17
                    SEN. FRASER: We didn't talk to LBB.
18
     That's not the process.
19
                    SEN. DAVIS:
                                 Okay. Turning to Section
2.0
     63.0101, Sections (a) and Sections (b) of your bill,
2.1
     would you agree that this list of proof of
     identification that can be provided to a poll worker
22
23
     includes a multiple of standards that those poll
24
     workers could apply?
25
                    SEN. FRASER: Do I agree that there's a
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004024

JA 003447

```
lot of choices?
 1
 2
                   SEN. DAVIS:
                                 Yes, a multiplicity of
 3
     standards --
                   SEN. FRASER: A multitude of choices.
 4
 5
     That I think is one of the beauties of the bill, is
     that there's a lot of ways that people could identify
 6
 7
     themselves.
 8
                   SEN. DAVIS: Okay. In reading the
 9
     Carter-Baker proposal, was it your understanding that
10
     the proposal they advanced was the creation of a
11
     universal voter ID that would, No. 1, provide more
12
     offices for people to receive those IDs, including
     mobile ones; No. 2, that would allow the registration
13
14
     and free federal ID for anyone wishing to seek one;
15
     and that there would be much less discrimination
     against minorities if there were a single uniform ID
16
1.7
     rather than poll workers applying multiple standards?
18
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. I can't
19
     speak for the commission. We have an expert witness
20
     who will be here in a minute, and I will be glad for
21
     you to ask her.
22
                   SEN. DAVIS: Would you agree that if
23
     those proposals were put in place, that it would
24
     create costs in implementing such a system?
                   SEN. FRASER: Again, Senator, we have a
25
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004025

JA 003448

system here where they look at the bill, any potential 1 2 cost to the state. They come back with the fiscal 3 impact on that. And the statement we received back 4 from, you know, on the fiscal impact was no impact. 5 SEN. DAVIS: Thank you. I'm going to complete my questions for now in order to give the 6 7 court reporter a break. Thank you, Sen. Fraser. 8 SEN. FRASER: Thank you. 9 SEN. SHAPLEIGH: I've got three 10 exhibits. 11 SEN. DUNCAN: Members, we have several 12 folks who want to talk. And we've been going now for 13 about an hour and 45 minutes, and I want to try to 14 pace the court reporter. Before we go to a short 15 break, though, Sen. Shapleigh had some exhibits he 16 wanted to introduce. So we'll do that and then take 1.7 a -- we'll be at ease for a few minutes. 18 Sen. Shapleigh. 19 SEN. SHAPLEIGH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 If I could, as Exhibits 11, 12 and 13, 21 respectively, the copy of The New York Times op ed by 22 Baker and Carter, '05; copy of Royal Masset's quote; 23 and editorial from the quorum report and The New York 24 Times' editorial of '08, all discussed on the floor. 25 SEN. DUNCAN: Okay. They will be placed

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004026

JA_003449

```
1
     in the record.
 2
                    Members, have those copies been provided
 3
     to the Secretary?
                    They're on their way? Okay. Thank you.
 4
 5
                    Members, we will take a -- we'll stand
     at ease for approximately 10 minutes. We'll reconvene
 6
 7
     at 4:45.
 8
                    (Exhibit Nos. 11, 12 and 13 marked and
 9
     admitted)
10
                    (Recess: 4:36 p.m. to 4:51 p.m.)
11
                    SEN. DUNCAN: The Senate Committee of
12
     the Whole will come to order.
13
                    If those in the gallery could be seated
14
     and we could have order in the chamber.
15
                    Sen. West.
16
                    SEN. WEST: Thank you very much,
17
     Mr. Chairman.
18
                    Sen. Fraser, I'm going to try not to be
19
     repetitious. Can you hear me now?
20
                    SEN. FRASER: If you use the Barry White
21
     voice, I think we're okay.
22
                    SEN. WEST: The Barry White voice.
23
                    SEN. FRASER: You know, I've told you
2.4
     that before --
25
                    SEN. WEST: All right.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX 00004027

TX_00004027 JA 003450

```
SEN. FRASER: -- that that's your best
 1
 2
     Barry White voice.
 3
                   SEN. WEST:
                              Well, I --
 4
                   SEN. FRASER: I've got your vote. I can
 5
     hear you well.
 6
                   SEN. WEST: Barry was able to -- has
 7
     been very convincing at times. Can I convince you to
 8
     pull this bill down?
 9
                   SEN. FRASER: Now yet.
10
                   SEN. WEST: Oh, okay. All right. I
11
     want to go through the bill with you. On Page 3 of
12
     the bill, Line 1 --
13
                   SEN. FRASER: If you would hold one
14
     second so I can get a copy of it.
15
                   SEN. WEST: Sure.
16
                   SEN. FRASER: You're reading from what?
17
                   SEN. WEST: Page 3, Lines 1 through 4.
18
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm not sure ours is going
19
     to match up, but we'll try. Page 3 -- where are you
20
     referencing? Okay. There we go. Okay. That's what
21
     I needed. This is what we're used to.
22
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. We talked about the
     use of identification.
23
24
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes, sir.
25
                   SEN. WEST: If you're going under
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004028 JA 003451

2.0

2.4

Subdivision (1), you say, "one form of identification listed in 63.0101(a)," and if you're going to go under No. (2), you say two forms of identification under 63.0101(b). And I'm trying to -- why the difference between the two types of identification in terms of the number that you have to use for purposes of identification?

SEN. FRASER: Well, I think the easy explanation is that I think you know, under the Indiana and the Georgia laws, they have a strict photo ID. Obviously, my preference on this would be a strict photo ID.

In the language that came from the bill that came over from the House, they offered an exception with two other forms of ID. But since they're not a photo, where you could identify someone, I can't speak for the House, but I'm assuming that option was given so you would have two different ways to identify someone to verify for sure that that's who they were.

SEN. WEST: So the only reason the requirement for two different forms is in this bill is because that's the way it came over from the House?

SEN. FRASER: The bill was passed in the House in this form and came over. And because it had

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004029

JA 003452

```
already passed one body in that form, and we had not
 1
 2
     voted on it, we made the decision to pick up the bill
     that came over last year from the House.
 3
                   SEN. WEST: And that's the sole reason.
 4
 5
     Is that correct?
                   SEN. FRASER:
 6
                                  No.
 7
                   SEN. WEST: What was the other reason?
                   SEN. FRASER: The other reason is, is we
 8
 9
     think this is a very fair -- you know, it's a very
10
     fair way, is that -- you know, I would love to see a
11
     strict photo ID bill like Indiana and Georgia.
12
     the bill that we're laying out gives a second
13
     opportunity for someone to identify themselves, which
14
     would be a secondary form of identification.
15
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. But again, the reason
16
     that it's in there is because it came over from the
17
     House that way and some other reasons. And I'm trying
18
     to figure out what are all the reasons that you put
19
     the requirement for two forms in there, other than it
20
     came over from the House that way?
21
                   SEN. FRASER: Again, the goal of my bill
22
     is that I don't want somebody to go into the polling
23
     place saying they're Royce West and use your voter ID.
24
     I think if you had a photo ID -- both of us are big
     people -- and if we came in, it would be easy for
25
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004030 JA 003453

```
1
     someone to identify our features, that we are who we
 2
     say we are.
 3
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Yes.
                    SEN. FRASER: But if you don't have some
 4
 5
     form of identification, then there is every ability
 6
     for someone to steal your voter registration --
 7
                    SEN. WEST: Okav.
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: -- and go and vote in
 9
     person, representing themself to be Royce West.
10
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. Let's look at this
11
                  So your Section (a) specifically deals
     right here.
12
     with, under that -- I'm sorry.
13
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                 (a)?
1 4
                   SEN. WEST: Section (a) under 63.0101 --
15
                   SEN. FRASER: Where are you?
                                                  What page?
16
                   SEN. WEST: I'm actually on Page 5 now.
17
                   SEN. FRASER: Okay. Page 5. Which
18
     line?
19
                   SEN. WEST: Well, this whole section,
20
     starting from I guess 9 -- and Section 10 of the bill.
21
                   SEN. FRASER: Got it.
22
                   SEN. WEST: Everything in there deals
23
     with some sort of photo identification. Right?
2.4
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes, that's correct.
25
                   SEN. WEST: And everything in Section
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004031 JA 003454

```
(b) deals with some documentary identification?
 1
 2
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  I do believe you're --
                   SEN. WEST: Section (b) is on .
 3
                   SEN. FRASER: All of (a) is photo and
 4
 5
     everything else is an alternate form of
     identification.
 6
 7
                   SEN. WEST:
                               Okay. Now, as it relates to
 8
     section; I'm still on Page 5 and 6. As it relates to
 9
     the forms of identification that you have amended into
10
     the bill, did you make any --
11
                   SEN. FRASER: Well, I haven't amended
12
     anything into the bill.
13
                   SEN. WEST:
                              Well, I'm sorry.
                                                  Drafted.
14
     Drafted.
15
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  The bill was filed.
16
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. As filed in this
17
     bill. Did you make any determination as to how it
18
     would impact ethnic minorities in the State of Texas?
19
     And, if so, what did you do to make a determination as
2.0
     to the impact?
21
                   SEN. FRASER: The answer I'm going to
22
     give you is the same answer I've given now to the last
23
     six witnesses, is that the way we help determine that
24
     was what happened in Indiana and what happened in
25
     Georgia. I have invited one person from Indiana and
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004032 JA 003455

2.0

two persons from Georgia. The persons from Georgia are a Section 5 voter rights state.

And I think those would be very good questions to ask them, that if we implement a voter identification bill, how did it impact their voters? And I think they're going to tell you that their voter response went up because those people felt very, very good -- just a second. You're about to interrupt me. They felt very good that -- they felt before -- weren't comfortable because they were afraid their vote was going to be stolen. But after we implement the voter identification, they felt good about it. And voter results for all classes, but more especially for African-Americans and Hispanics, increased. And I believe that's what they're going to tell you. I don't want to speak for them.

SEN. WEST: Okay. And so then we should extrapolate from their testimony that the experiences in a Section 5 state -- Georgia -- and a non-Section 5 state would be applicable to the State of Texas?

SEN. FRASER: Senator, my wishes on this and my goal, if I could project the absolute best thing that could happen, is that the people of Oak Cliff that are in your area representing --

SEN. WEST: By the way, have you talked

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004033

JA 003456

```
with any people in Oak Cliff about this bill?
 1
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: That we would have record
 3
     turnout by the people in Oak Cliff --
                    SEN. WEST: Have you talked to any of
 4
     the minorities -- I'm sorry.
 5
                    SEN. FRASER: Have I talked to any?
 6
 7
                    SEN. WEST: Have you talked to any
 8
     ethnic minorities about this particular bill? Have
 9
     they had input into this bill at all?
10
                    SEN. FRASER: And I don't want to get
11
     cute with you, but you are an ethnic minority, and you
12
     and I have had a conversation about it.
13
                    SEN. WEST: Oh, no. I'm talking
14
     about --
1.5
                    SEN. FRASER: So the answer to that
16
     would have to be yes.
17
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. Well, let me be more
18
     specific then. Have you talked to any ethnic
19
     minorities that support your bill?
20
                   SEN. FRASER: The answer is yes.
21
                   SEN. WEST: All right. Are they
22
     Hispanics and African-Americans?
23
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes.
24
                   SEN. WEST: Was it an African-American
25
     that supports your bill?
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004034 JA 003457

```
SEN. FRASER: What did you say?
 1
 2
                   SEN. WEST: All right. I'm going to be
 3
     more specific now.
                        Have you talked to an African-
     American, African-Americans that support your bill?
 4
 5
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes.
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. Have you talked to
 6
 7
     Hispanics that support your bill?
 8
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes.
 9
                   SEN. WEST: Are they here to testify in
10
     support of your bill?
11
                   SEN. FRASER: Let me think about -- the
12
     invited testimony --
13
                    SEN. WEST: Yes.
                   SEN. FRASER: -- of the invited
14
15
     testimony, we have an African-American, I think, that
     is going to testify on this bill.
16
                   SEN. WEST: Is that African-American
1.7
18
     with the Secretary of State's office?
19
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes, he is.
20
                    SEN. WEST: He is a resource, isn't he?
21
     He's not coming to testify --
22
                    SEN. FRASER: Did I say that -- I didn't
23
     say he was going to testify. I said he was going to
24
     testify on the bill.
25
                    SEN. WEST: All right. Now, let me go
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004035 JA 003458

```
back to my question. Maybe it wasn't specific enough.
 1
 2
     Have you talked to any African-Americans or Hispanics
 3
     that are in support of your bill --
                   SEN. FRASER: Yes.
 4
 5
                   SEN. WEST: -- support? And will they
 6
     be here to testify?
 7
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. I --
 8
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. Have you talked to
 9
     any African- --
10
                   SEN. FRASER: I haven't looked at the
11
     list of who is going to testify.
12
                   SEN. WEST: Have you talked to any
13
     African-Americans or Hispanics about the impact that
14
     your bill will have on their right to vote?
15
                   Let me tell you what I'm getting to.
16
     Have you talked to any African-Americans? Have you
17
     done an assessment in terms of whether or not this
18
     particular bill and the methods that you are employing
19
     will be retrogressive as it relates to the minority
20
     voters of the State of Texas?
21
                   SEN. FRASER: I think the answer is the
22
     same answer I gave you about four questions ago, is
23
     that I looked at -- and I've spent a lot of time
24
     examining the data of actual voter turnout that
25
     happened after the implementation of a photo ID bill
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004036

JA 003459

in Indiana and in Georgia. And I have invited the 1 2 election judges from both states to come and share 3 that with you today, and I feel sure that they will be glad to answer your questions. 4 5 SEN. WEST: And based on your observation of what occurred in those particular 6 7 states, you believe that your bill, if enacted in law, 8 will not have any retrogressive impact on the minority 9 voters of the State of Texas? 10 SEN. FRASER: I think my bill is going to increase African-American and Hispanic turnout in 11 12 I think those people today feel 13 disenfranchised because they feel like there is fraud 14 going on in votes today --15 SEN. WEST: Well, have you talked to 16 any --17 Are you interrupting me? SEN. FRASER: 18 SEN. WEST: Yes. I --19 SEN. FRASER: Just a second. I get to 20 finish. 21 SEN. WEST: But you say you feel like 22 those people. My question is, who have you spoken to, 23 to come to that assertion that those people feel as 2.4 though that there's fraud and all that stuff? What 25 African-Americans and Hispanics -- have you spoken to

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00004037
JA 003460

some to make that assertion, in the State of Texas?

And I'll listen to you now. I'll listen to you now respond to my question.

SEN. FRASER: I have laid out a bill and researched, asked questions about the way people vote. I believe I have a bill that will encourage people that their vote will count and their vote is not going to be diluted by those that cheat. I think that will encourage voters, as it did in Indiana and in Georgia.

And I believe that this bill is not only good for the people of the State of Texas, but I'm pretty familiar with the ethnic makeup of the people you represent. And I think this bill will be extremely good for Royce West's senatorial district.

thoughts. But let me ask you again, you made some assertions that you believe that it's going to be good for every one of Royce West's district and ethnic minorities in the State of Texas. You made assertions that this will prevent people from cheating and all that other stuff. And I'm asking you, as relates to voter impersonation, have you talked to any African-Americans or Hispanics that said there was a problem in the state, that this is a problem in the state?

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004038

JA_003461

SEN. FRASER: Without a doubt, the 1 2 way -- and I think after we hear the testimony of the 3 witnesses here, and the Secretary of State, it's going 4 to be hard for you to disagree that there is not a 5 problem and the people you represent are not being not served correctly by the current law of Texas. And as 6 7 a reasonable person -- which I know you are. I know 8 you -- you know, you and I have served together for 9 the last 12 years --1.0 SEN. WEST: Oh, yes. 11 SEN. FRASER: -- and I respect you a 12 lot, you know. And I think once you listen to the 13 testimony, you're going to have trouble not agreeing 14 that the people you represent will be well-served by 15 this bill, and I believe that. 16 Okay. And, you know, that's SEN. WEST: 17 fine. But the answer to my question is, have you 18 spoken to anyone? 19 SEN. FRASER: Yes, I have. 20 SEN. WEST: African-Americans and 21 Hispanics --SEN. FRASER: Yes. 22 23 SEN. WEST: -- that say that cheating is 2.4 a problem in the State of Texas, that voter 25 impersonation is a problem in the State of Texas, have

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004039

JA 003462

```
1
     you've spoken with anyone?
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: I have spoken to --
 3
                    SEN. WEST: African-Americans and
 4
     Hispanics?
 5
                    SEN. FRASER: -- African-Americans and
 6
     Hispanics --
 7
                    SEN. WEST: In the State of Texas?
                    SEN. FRASER: -- in the State of Texas.
 8
 9
     And, you know, I have spoken to a lot of people in
10
     different classes. And the people of this state
     believe that -- 88 percent of the people polled
11
12
     believe that a photo or a voter ID in Texas should be
1.3
     something we should implement.
1.4
                               Sir, but --
                    SEN. WEST:
1.5
                    SEN. FRASER: And of those -- just a
              Of those -- and the number I saw -- and I
16
17
     believe it was 74 person of the people surveyed were
18
     African-American that said they believe that we should
19
     implement a voter ID in Texas because they are
2.0
     concerned about the --
21
                    SEN. WEST: Do you have a copy of that
22
     study?
23
                    SEN. FRASER: Yes. I do have -- we have
24
     a Rasmussen study, and then there is a secondary study
2.5
     and we will get that -- I will get that for you, yes.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004040

JA 003463

```
SEN. WEST: Mr. Chairman, I would like
 1
 2
     to see that.
 3
                    SEN. FRASER: I will be glad to show it
 4
     to you.
 5
                    SEN. WEST: So you've depending upon a
     survey that was done? You're depending upon a survey
 6
 7
     that was done in order to make the statement that
 8
     you're making in terms of talking to African-Americans
 9
     and Hispanics?
10
                    SEN. FRASER: No. I'm relying on actual
11
     data of people that voted this election cycle that
1.2
     didn't vote in the election cycles before, because
13
     they were encouraged that their vote was going to
14
     count.
15
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. And going back to --
16
     and let me make sure I understand your response to
17
     this question. You've said that in order to get an
18
     answer as relates to whether or not any less
19
     regressive means were considered by you as the author
2.0
     of this bill would have to talk to the Secretary of
21
     State?
22
                   SEN. FRASER: I don't think I said that
              I don't think --
23
     at all.
2.4
                   SEN. WEST: Well, and you --
25
                    SEN. FRASER: We even talked about less
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004041 JA 003464

```
1
     regressive means. I said the Secretary of State is
 2
     going to tell you the current state of the law in
 3
     Texas and tell you we've got a big problem --
                    SEN. WEST: As it relates to regressive
 4
 5
     means and an aggressive -- a regressive analysis in
 6
     terms of the impact that it has on minority voters.
 7
     Who on your panel would be able to answer that
 8
                What experts would be able to answer that
     question?
 9
     question?
10
                    SEN. FRASER: I suspect probably every
11
     witness that is --
12
                    SEN. WEST:
                                Every witness?
13
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                  I think so.
14
                    SEN. WEST: Okay.
15
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                  I think so. I suspect
16
     that -- we've got two personal -- or three personal
17
     examples, because we've got Indiana and Georgia.
18
     We've got the registrar from Houston that, you know,
19
     you can ask those questions. We've got the Secretary
20
     of State's office, and then we've got the Carter-
21
     Baker administration -- or the --
22
                    SEN. WEST: Does your bill do anything
23
     about fraud as it relates to denying people the right
24
     to vote?
25
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                 Say it again.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004042

JA 003465

```
Does your bill do anything
 1
                    SEN. WEST:
 2
     about fraud as relates to denying people the right to
 3
            You know, there may very well be individuals
     that come into precincts and -- you know, back in
 4
 5
     Dallas -- because you've talked about it a couple of
 6
     times. I think it was in 1984, we had a bunch of
 7
     judges come down to African-American precincts and put
     up signs basically saying, "You can go to jail." Were
 8
 9
     you aware of that?
10
                    SEN. FRASER: No, I'm not.
11
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. This bill does
     nothing about that type of behavior, though. Right?
12
13
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                  This bill --
14
                    SEN. WEST: Okay.
1.5
                    SEN. FRASER: -- is really straight-
16
     forward, Senator.
                        This only addresses one narrow part
17
     of the election code, and that is the fact that when
18
     you walk into that voting place, you're going to
1.9
     identify that when you vote as Royce West, they are
2.0
     verify you are who you say you are.
21
                    SEN. WEST: Those poll workers, how much
     do we pay poll workers, Senator?
22
23
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised, but I bet
24
     the Secretary of State knows.
25
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. And so those poll
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004043

JA 003466

```
workers will make that determination -- right? -- as
 1
 2
     to whether or not a person has the proper
     identification? Let me ask you this: Let's say that
 3
     Troy Fraser's name was misspelled on the voter
 4
 5
     registration list, and you came in with your driver's
     license and it's correctly spelled. What would happen
 6
 7
     in that circumstance?
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: And again, I'm going to
 9
     punt to the Secretary of State, that they would make
1.0
     the determination. But I think likely the easy answer
11
     is, is that there is a system today under current law
12
     for a determination of that, that -- and I'll give you
     this example. I'm not real good about taking my voter
13
14
     registration card. I usually take my driver's license
15
         And if they had me on the rolls as F-r-a-z-e-r
16
     and my driver's license says F-r-a-s-e-r, there is a
17
     provision today under current law to manage that.
18
     bet you that the --
19
                   SEN. WEST: I do the same thing. I
20
     normally just take my driver's license in.
21
                   SEN. FRASER: Well, but there's --
22
                   SEN. WEST: And some people just take a
23
     utility bill in.
2.4
                   SEN. FRASER: And the answer to your
25
     question is, I'm not addressing that. That is --
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004044

JA 003467

```
1
     under current law, the Secretary of State has the
 2
     ability to address that. And I think the answer is
 3
     that if they can't determine your exact -- you know,
     who you are, they could provide a provisional ballot,
 4
 5
     do their research, find out you are okay and then let
 6
     you vote.
 7
                    SEN. WEST: And I think that's exactly
 8
     the way that it plays out. But as it relates to
 9
     provisional ballots -- and I think Sen. Ellis raised
10
     this question a few moments ago. What happens in that
11
     circumstances? You have a poll worker make a
12
     determination that Fraser is spelled wrong, you do the
1.3
     affidavit, you do a provisional ballot. When is that
14
     ballot counted under your bill?
15
                    SEN. FRASER: The Secretary of State --
16
     I mean --
17
                    SEN. WEST: The Secretary of State's
18
     office?
19
                   SEN. FRASER: -- will be glad to answer
20
     that for you.
21
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. That's fair. Okay.
22
                   Thank you very much, Sen. Fraser.
23
                   SEN. FRASER: Thank you.
2.4
                   SEN. DUNCAN: I will remind the members
25
     that we still have invited testimony, numerous
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004045

JA 003468

```
witnesses, and then also public testimony, who have
 1
 2
     been waiting here today to testify.
 3
                    So we'll move now -- Sen. Hinojosa,
 4
     you're recognized.
 5
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 6
 7
                    Sen. Fraser?
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry, Senator, I
 9
     didn't hear him introduce you. I'll be glad to answer
10
     your questions.
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: I only have a few
11
12
     questions for you.
13
                    SEN. FRASER: And, Senator, I'm sorry.
14
     Let me get my head piece. I can already anticipate
15
     I'm going to have trouble hearing you. Just a second.
16
                    (Brief pause)
17
                   Are you there?
18
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: Yes, sir.
19
                    SEN. FRASER: Do a little mike test. It
20
     still is not working.
21
                   Can you give me a mike test? One, two,
22
     three, four, five.
23
                   SEN. HINOJOSA: Is it working now? Can
2.4
     vou hear me?
25
                    SEN. FRASER: No, it's not working.
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004046 JA 003469

```
(Brief pause)
 1
 2
                    Senator, let's try that one.
 3
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: Okay. Can you hear me
 4
     now?
 5
                                  I've got you now.
                    SEN. FRASER:
 6
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: Okay. Thank you,
 7
     Senator.
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: I'm --
 9
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: I just have a few
10
     questions, and they deal more with the process and
11
     trying to identify people who come to vote, with a
12
     photo ID identification. What type of training will
13
     poll watchers have in order to determine whether or
     not a photo ID is valid or a fraud?
14
15
                    SEN. FRASER: Senator, I don't want to
16
     be cute or cut you off, but that's the same question
17
     the last seven people have asked. And the Secretary
     of State has been invited here to answer that
1.8
19
     question, and they can answer it a lot better than I
20
     can.
21
                   SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, but in your
22
     legislation, do you have provisions to provide some
23
     type of detection equipment to be able to tell whether
24
     or not a photo ID is fake?
25
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                 My bill is very straight-
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004047

JA 003470

1.5

2.0

forward in what will be required to identify. But the implementation of that and the training of the people will be left up to the Secretary of State. And I would bet you the Secretary of State would love to answer your question on that.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, let me follow up again. You know, it's very easy to get a fake ID at the flea markets and pay 20 bucks for them. And my question is, if you don't provide any legislation for any type of equipment to be able to detect whether or not that is a fake ID, then it defeats the whole purpose of your legislation.

SEN. FRASER: And, Senator, I think you have just made my case for me. That's the exact reason that we're doing this bill, is that there are people out there, unscrupulous people doing exactly what you just said, that they are taking identification of the people you represent and they are going and fraudulently voting and that we don't have the ability to either recognize that they have done that or to prosecute them after they have. Thank you for bringing that up.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Senator, that's not the question that I asked. That's not what I asked. I said do you have provisions in your legislation to

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004048

JA_003471

```
provide the funding for the local precincts to
 1
 2
     purchase equipment to be able to detect whether or not
 3
     a photo ID is a fake?
                                  Senator, I'm sorry.
 4
                    SEN. FRASER:
 5
                    Did you get another head thing?
                    Senator, let's try it one more time.
 6
 7
     Would you ask that question again, please.
 8
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: Can you hear me?
 9
                    SEN. FRASER: This receiver is a bad
1.0
                I'm getting nothing but interference.
11
                    Can somebody get one of these that
12
     works?
13
                   Let me try without it.
14
                   SEN. HINOJOSA: Okay.
15
                    SEN. FRASER: Where is Lucio's?
16
                    Go ahead.
17
                    SEN. HINOJOSA: I will repeat my
18
     question, Sen. Fraser. And what I'm asking is whether
19
     or not in your legislation you have provisions to fund
     the detection equipment that will be able to tell
20
21
     whether or not an ID is a fake one?
                    SEN. FRASER: Senator, again, I think
22
23
     that would be a question of the Secretary of State, is
24
     that -- I think you could ask him how they're going to
25
             But we -- as you know, the way this works --
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00004049
JA 003472

2.4

you have been here like I have, a long time -- we lay this legislation out. They come back with a fiscal note of the impact to the state. They said there is no impact to the state, that they have sufficient money within their budget to handle it. And I would suggest you ask that question of the Secretary of State.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, I think that it's very important that you have provisions in your legislation to deal with this issue. When you travel by airplane, by air, as you well know, they scan your driver's license and they can tell whether or not it's a fake driver's license. And what I'm asking of you is whether or not you have provisions in your legislation to deal with fake IDs from the fleamarket, for example? I guess not.

SEN. FRASER: I don't want to dodge your question.

SEN. HINOJOSA: But you are.

SEN. FRASER: But you're asking a question that is a technical question of the agency.

And again, I don't want to speak for my witnesses.

But the guy that's going to speak from Houston,

Houston is, in fact, using that exact same thing right now. So in some areas, it's already in place.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004050 JA 003473

1.7

2.0

2.1

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, think about this:
How many precincts do we have here in the State of
Texas? And if we are going to check on IDs and
whether or not they're fake, you need to have the
proper equipment in place. How much are they going to
cost and who is going to pay for it?

SEN. FRASER: Senator, you need to ask the Secretary of State. And, you know, that is a technical question that the agency is going to have to answer.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, I guess the real answer, it may be an unfunded mandate on the counties.

SEN. FRASER: Well, I don't think there's something in the bill that places a mandate on them to buy equipment for that. You know, I would suspect that there is a system within the DPS to help identify that. And I think -- I don't want to overproject, but I suspect that between the Secretary of State's office and in the counties, that there is a system that if you input a number, that they can tell whether they're a real number or not. And I'm sorry. You're getting into an area that I don't have expertise in.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, I think it's very important to have some provisions in your legislation

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004051 JA 003474

dealing with this issue because, otherwise, there is no way that you can have a poll worker know whether or not a photo ID is a fake one or not.

Let me also ask another question. Have you considered how much longer it would take for voters to vote, where the lines would be longer and it would discourage people to vote?

SEN. FRASER: Why don't you ask that of the Indiana and the Georgia people. They just went through two election cycles in Indiana, one in Georgia. They have already done this. That would be an excellent question for them.

SEN. HINOJOSA: But, Sen Fraser, you are the one carrying the legislation, not them.

SEN. FRASER: And that's the reason I invited expert witnesses in, of people that have already put this in place. The advantage we've got is that we're not reinventing the wheel on that. We can find out their information of what happened.

SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, I think your bill really needs a lot of work. It has a lot of shortcomings with it in the way it's going to be implemented.

And thank you for answering my questions.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004052

JA 003475

```
SEN. FRASER:
 1
                                 Thank you.
 2
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Uresti.
                    SEN. URESTI: Thank you, Mr. President.
 3
                    Sen. Fraser, I just have a few
 4
 5
                 I know it's been a long day, but I would
 6
     like to ask a few questions more specific to my
 7
     district.
 8
                    But as a backdrop to my questions, not
 9
     only to you but to the witnesses that you've been
10
     referencing all day, you have probably heard me speak
11
     to this session, my senatorial district is the largest
12
     geographical district in Texas.
13
                    SEN. FRASER: I know your district well.
14
     There's a lot of it that I used to represent, so I'm
15
     very familiar with it.
16
                    SEN. URESTI:
                                 And it's actually larger
17
     than about 24 states in the country.
18
                   SEN. FRASER: It's a great district,
19
     good people.
20
                    SEN. URESTI: It is a beautiful
21
     district. And one of the reasons I stand today to ask
22
     you these questions is, I represent the constituents
23
     of my district. In addition to being the largest
24
     district in Texas, it's also the second poorest
25
     district in Texas.
                         The per capita income, the average
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004053 JA 003476

2.2

per capita for my constituents is \$12,484 per year.

SEN. FRASER: Are you aware of the fact that just right below, right above that is the district that I represent? And so we have a lot in common in the people that I represent and the people you represent, because our districts touch. And a lot of the people that you represent now are people that I used to. So we have a very like district.

SEN. URESTI: And even more reason why I think you will appreciate the questions that I have of you, Sen. Fraser. In my district, the poverty rate is approximately 24 percent. So when you couple the poverty rate with the vast area of my district, you see the challenges that my constituents face.

And I tell you that because when we talk about photo ID and the necessity to obtain an ID, whether it be a driver's license or whether it be an actual Texas photo ID, knowing the area as well as you do, when you look at some of the counties in my district, I think you probably, better than most, fully appreciate the distances that one has to travel in order to get an ID. And I'll just give you a few examples. In addition to that, though, the fact that many of these DPS offices are only open on very sporadic days and times.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00004054 JA_003477

1.8

For example, in Bandera County, it's open -- the DPS office is only open on Wednesdays from 9:00 to 4:00. In Culberson County, it's only open -- the DPS office is only open on Thursdays from 9:00 to 5:00.

In Kinney County, which is where

Brackettville is located, the DPS office is only open

the first and third Tuesday of each month from 9:00 to

4 o'clock. And then one other example of many,

Terrell County, which is down where Sanderson is

located, the DPS office is only open one Monday a

month from 9:30 to 3:30.

So having said that, my concern is the fact that if an individual needs to obtain a photo ID or a Texas driver's license, the challenges that they will face in, one, having to go to those offices; two, the distances that they'll have to travel; and then three, if they're not familiar with the dates or the times that they are open, the fact that they may have to go back.

And if they're not registered or they do not obtain that in a timely manner, which I understand it could take up to 60 days or so to receive your photo ID, there is a very good chance that they will not be able to have that ID when they do go to vote.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004055

JA 003478

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Would you agree with me on that, Sen. Fraser? Well, that was a SEN. FRASER: consideration in looking at the parameters, is that the good news for you is, we still have all the same parameters for mail-in ballots. We haven't changed And all these other forms, there is just a that. multitude of things they can use for a secondary form of identification. So if for some reason they couldn't get to that -- and I would -- you know, you and I know that most of those people out there drive and they do have cars, the bulk of them do, and they would be -- they would really like to go and get that driver's license. But if they couldn't and they were going to vote, there is a multitude of things they can use for identification to make it really, really easy, or they could do a mail-in ballot. We've made it easy for them. SEN. URESTI: And I appreciate you bringing that up, because that's a good seque into my

SEN. URESTI: And I appreciate you bringing that up, because that's a good segue into my next question. But again, going back to the poverty rate, I would respectfully disagree with you, Sen. Fraser, that they all have cars, because most of them can't afford cars, at least in my district.

On Page 5 of your bill, Senator, you reference -- Page 5, Line 20, under "Documentation of

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004056

JA_003479

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Proof of Identification," you reference "a United States military identification card that contains the person's photograph." And I just want to make sure that I clearly understand what you have in your bill, and that for those military individuals, whether they be active duty, reservists, retired, et cetera, if there is no photograph on their military ID, then they would not be able to use that ID under your bill. that correct? SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry, Senator. think you probably have misread this. You're under the section that lays out the acceptable form of photo But if it doesn't have a photo on it, it could be used as one of the non-photo IDs, plus one other form of identification. So the answer to your question is, yes, they could use it. SEN. URESTI: Okay. So a military ID that does not have a photo could be used? SEN. FRASER: Yes. It's a form of government identification. SEN. URESTI: Okay. That's not the way

I read it, but I'm glad you cleared that up for me.

SEN. FRASER: Under the (2), it would be one of their forms of identification. It is a

government-issued form of identification. And under

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004057

JA 003480

```
the non-photo area, it would be used.
 1
                    SEN. URESTI: And just so I'm clear, it
 2
     reads "a United States military identification card
 3
     that contains the person's photograph." What my
 4
     question is, if there is a military ID card that does
 5
     not have a photograph, then you're saying that those
 6
 7
     military individuals, whether they be active duty,
     whether they be reservists, whether they be retired,
 8
     would not be able to vote with that form of ID.
 9
10
     that correct?
11
                    SEN. FRASER: That is not correct. That
     would be one of their forms of ID. And if they had
12
13
     one other piece of identification, their utility bill,
14
     with that military ID, they're fine.
15
                    SEN. URESTI: Whether it has a
16
     photograph or no?
17
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 Yes.
                                                     That's
18
                    SEN. URESTI: Okay. Very good.
19
     good to know.
20
                    Going into the alternative forms of
21
     documentation, specifically on Page 6, what I'm trying
22
     to reconcile and what is confusing to me, and I
23
     believe would be very confusing to the voters of
24
     Texas, and complicated, on Page 6, Line 14, you list
25
     the following documents -- I beg your pardon -- "The
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004058

JA 003481

following documentation is acceptable as proof of identification under this chapter."

Then it goes on to read, Subparagraph (1), a copy of a current utility bill; Paragraph (2), official mail; Paragraph (3), a certified copy; Paragraph (4), United States citizenship papers; Paragraph (5), an original or certified copy; and then No. (6,) court records.

And so I'm trying to reconcile those different terms in that you have a copy, you have official document, you are certified document, you have papers, you have original or certified copy, and then you have court records.

And to me, that's confusing as an attorney, much less I think to my constituents. And so specifically -- this is my question, Sen. Fraser -- on No. (16) (sic) under the Paragraph (b) where it reads, "a copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, or other government document," that leads me to believe that if they brought the original document, they would not be able to use the original document, it would have to be a copy of that document. Is that correct?

SEN. FRASER: I think you're overreading the issue, because this is current law. This

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004059

JA_003482

1.3

1.8

2.1

is current law that we're operating. If you voted in this last election, you voted under this. If you will look at that -- and I believe -- is that not taken from current law? So if you're confused about it today, you were confused about it yesterday, because it was -- that's current law.

SEN. URESTI: Well, let me tell you why
I'm confused, Sen. Fraser, because if you go on into
Paragraph (2) that's not current law, and Paragraph
(3) that's not current law, for specifically Paragraph
(3,) you insert "a certified copy." Paragraph (5),
you put "an original or certified copy." So that's
why it's confusing, Sen. Fraser, and that's why I'm
trying to clarify it, because you use a copy in one
instance, then you use a certified copy in another
instance. But here is my question. I want to go back
to my question.

SEN. FRASER: Wait, wait, wait. Hold on a second. You've got to answer the one that you just asked. One of the great things about these hearings -- and it's the same answer I gave now to the last eight people I've talked to -- I'm about to have the Secretary of State come up here. The Secretary of State's job is to issue the clarification of adopting rules to clarify the implementation of the law we

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004060 JA_003483

2.0

passed. And I would -- I don't want to put words in their mouth, but I would assume they're going to say, "We can handle that."

that. And because you can't speak for the Secretary of State and because you are the author of this bill, I just wanted to ask you, so I could clarify and so I can explain it to the voters of Texas and to my constituents, then -- and I think you understand now what I'm trying to reconcile in that you asked for different documentation. And, one, it can be a copy or it can be the official mail, et cetera. And I will ask the specific questions of the Secretary of State.

SEN. FRASER: I think it would be a good idea to do that.

SEN. URESTI: But because you are the author, I wanted to ask you specifically. And then I think my last question, Sen. Fraser, if you bear with me one second. I beg your pardon.

On Page 4 under Section 8, on Line 20 where it reads "did not deliberately provide false information to secure registration in a precinct in which the voter does not reside," I'm trying to understand what you mean when you put "deliberately provide false information."

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004061

JA_003484

```
1
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                  Senator, again --
 2
                    SEN. URESTI:
                                 And (2) --
                    SEN. FRASER: -- I don't want to
 3
     interrupt you here, but you're quoting current law.
 4
 5
     That's law right now that we have been living under
     for some period of time. And if you've got a question
 6
 7
     about the interpretation of the Secretary of State's
     rule on that, I bet they would answer it.
 8
 9
                    SEN. URESTI: And I hope they can.
                                                       But.
10
     Senator, this is part of your bill, though.
                                                   (1) --
11
                    SEN. FRASER: Now, just a second.
12
     know the way this works in legislation is that if it's
13
     current law, you reprint current law. And if you're
14
     going to make a change, you insert it and underline.
15
     And all we're doing there -- we could have left all
16
     that out and make you work and go see how it fits
1.7
     together. But, you know, you have been here a long
18
     time, and you know that's the way it works is, we go
19
     ahead and tell you what current law is so it reads
20
     correctly. I didn't make that law up. It's just a
21
     law that is there. And I think you could ask the
22
     Secretary of State the way it's interpreted.
23
                   SEN. URESTI: And I appreciate that.
24
     But did you not strike certain language from current
25
     law in this bill, Sen. Fraser?
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004062

JA 003485

```
SEN. FRASER: If it was struck -- did we
 1
 2
     strike -- just a second.
 3
                    (Brief pause)
                    I'm not advised as to whether we struck
 4
 5
     something.
                 I believe the language that you're
 6
     referring to on Line 20 is current law and above and
 7
     below it is current law. And I, to my knowledge --
 8
     but again, I think you should ask the Secretary of
 9
     State that.
1.0
                    SEN. URESTI: And I'll do that. Thank
11
     vou, Sen. Fraser.
12
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 You bet. Thank you.
13
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Van de Putte.
14
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                        Thank you,
15
     Mr. President.
16
                   And, Sen. Fraser, I'm . . .
1.7
                    SEN. FRASER: It was wishful thinking.
18
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And I would have
19
     hoped that this question would have been asked before,
20
     and I've listened and it's not, and I know that we
     would love to --
21
22
                    SEN. FRASER: I would love to have a new
23
     question.
24
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, thank you.
25
     I'm going to be very, very quick. I am looking at
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004063

JA 003486

```
Page 5, Line 27.
 1
 2
                    SEN. FRASER: Just a second. Let me get
 3
                                    I'm deaf and blind.
     my glasses, Senator. Hold on.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Section 10 of the
 4
 5
     bill.
                    SEN. FRASER: Section 10. What page?
 6
 7
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Page 5, Line 27.
 8
                    SEN. FRASER: Got it.
 9
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: In that section,
10
     there is a change from the United States citizenship
11
     papers -- and you strike that -- to certificate. Tell
12
     me, what is the difference between a certificate and
13
     the papers?
14
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                 Senator, again, you and I
15
     have served together a long time. And you know when
16
     these bills come from Leg. Council, that if there is
17
     clean-up legislation, they need to clarify something
18
     that is either case law. The answer is, I don't know
19
     why they struck that.
20
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, it --
21
                    SEN. FRASER: It was not our
     recommendation. This came from Leg. Council this way.
22
23
     I can find out the answer to that --
24
                   SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                        Okay.
25
                    SEN. FRASER: -- but I can honestly say
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004064

JA 003487

I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Okav. Well, I think there is different terminology. It is my understanding, since I represent a Hispanic district -- and many of the senators here have a number of Hispanics -- this is especially important for naturalized citizen. It is my understanding that the certificate means the 8-by-11 certificate with a photo that is given at the time of naturalization. it does have a photo, and it's under your section that it would be okay for a photo. My question is that the government also issues a wallet-sized card that is listed as a paper. That could be part of the paper, but it has no ID.

On the section of the bill that you talk about non-photo, which would be Page 6, Line 24, it says "United States citizenship papers." Papers I think are the card. But the papers, are they the certificate? And the reason I ask is, because at the time of naturalization -- and many of us have Hispanics in our district that as young children were naturalized. That picture is of a child and doesn't match up. So how --

SEN. FRASER: Senator -- and again, I don't want to dodge your question. I think probably

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004065 JA 003488

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

this is a legitimate question to ask the Secretary of State.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Okay.

answer that one. But I will tell you my intent on this is not to deny any legal voter that should have the right to vote, and that if someone is using this as a source of documentation and it is a legal documentation that proved they are who they say they are, I want them to be able to use it. I want all Hispanics that you represent --

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Yes.

SEN. FRASER: -- to have the ability to vote under this bill, and my intent is to increase their right to do that. So if there is a tweak needed there, I can tell you I'm open to it. I don't know the answer to the question you're asking.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, thank you. In researching that, there is a difference between "certificate" and "paper." On one, the certificate does have a photo ID; the paper does not. And I think that's probably why Leg. Council did that.

SEN. FRASER: You and I could probably sit down with a Leg. Council lawyer, ask them what happened, the meaning of that. And it is certainly

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233 TX_00004066 JA_003489

1.8

not my intent in any way to deny someone the right to vote. My intentions are exactly the opposite. I want them to be able to identify themself and vote.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, thank you,
Senator, because the picture photo for many of my
constituents who have been naturalized and are now
adults or maybe even elderly does not match up,
because that was taken at the time of naturalization.

And my fear was that an election clerk, having to know the difference between certificates and paper and then maybe the name not matching up, particularly for women who then would be -- the naturalization paper certificate would never match up with your married name if you were naturalized as a child, and that would be extremely discriminatory toward Hispanic citizens and particularly to this state, which may not have been a problem in Georgia or Indiana but is definitely a problem here, and I appreciate that.

SEN. FRASER: Not a -- absolutely, we want -- if someone is a legal citizen and has identification and they're registered to vote, then I want them to have the ability to do that.

One of the questions you just asked about the picture not matching up, I would also advise

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004067

JA_003490

1.0

2.0

you to ask the Secretary of State that, is that I think there's methodology, that it's even addressed -- it was anticipated -- in some of the things I read, that if I grew a beard and I don't look like I used to, a methodology to make sure that we could verify who you say you are.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, Senator. And I have one follow-up question. You and I have the luxury and the blessing of representing many now Texas residents who are voting in the state who happen to be military families and military members. And I know you are well aware of Fort Hood and Sen. Shapleigh at Fort Bliss. My question is a follow-up to Sen. Uresti's questions on military ID.

Many times the identification of record doesn't have the address of the voting. So if they were voting here but their address of record is at --how would, under your bill, a clerk treat that inconsistency of a nonmatch-up for our military members?

SEN. FRASER: Again, Senator -- and I thank you for acknowledging my district being impacted. I believe that I have the largest number of ex-military in the state living in my senate district, you know, former military. I want to make sure that

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004068

JA 003491

they have the right to vote.

1.3

Yes, they do change addresses, but this is something that we have been coping with for years, even under our current system. Again, I think the Secretary of State is capable of not only answering that question but also making sure we have a seamless transition to this, because it's extremely important to me, and I know it is to you, is that I want to make sure that our brave men and women that have served this country preserve that very basic right in making sure they get to vote in elections.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, Senator. I appreciate that. And I want to clarify, just for the last time, so that I understand. With the changes that you are proposing, every Texan who wishes to cast a ballot would have to bring both their certificate, voter certificate that's issued by the jurisdiction that they've registered in, and some sort of photo identification. Is that correct?

SEN. FRASER: Well, that's not exactly, the way you phrased that. Actually, for someone to vote, all they've got to do is show up. So that the -- I need to ask a question. I just thought of something.

(Brief pause)

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004069

JA 003492

1.5

2.0

Okay. I'm sitting here having a discussion with my staff, clarifying that that is the case. And I can tell you, my intent on this would be that it's — here would be the example I would give you. I go to Marble Falls or Horseshoe Bay to vote. I never have my voter ID. I always just pull out my driver's license. Let's just say for some reason I forgot my driver's license. My intent would be, if I have two other pieces of identification listed here and they match up with the voter roll and it says, "Troy Fraser, 103 Lighthouse," a particular precinct, it would be my intention you should vote.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, that's -SEN. FRASER: I'm not clear -- I want to
make sure, as you do -- and I think what you're
raising is making sure that the bill absolutely says
that, and that is the intent.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, thank you,
Sen. Fraser, because the way I looked at this, I
thought that every Texan who wants to cast a ballot
now will have to present with both the voter
certificate and a photo ID or the certificate and two
alternate forms. So you either have a two-fer or a
three-fer. And I'm just wondering if that's correct
or if someone shows up and they do not have their

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233

TX_00004070

JA_003493

```
certificate, do they just need a photo ID?
 1
 2
     they show up and they don't have their certificate or
 3
     a photo, what other two --
                    SEN. FRASER: I don't have the answer
 4
 5
     for you today. I'll be honest with you, that I've got
     to look at that. I wish I could give you an answer on
 6
 7
     that, but I don't have an answer right now. And I've
     got to look at the bill, talk to the Secretary of
 8
 9
     State's office, see how that flows together, look at
10
     the election official and determine how we blend that
11
     together.
12
                   My intention is that I want everyone to
13
            I'll give you that as a blanket answer.
14
     intention is that if someone can prove who they say
15
     they are, I want them to vote.
16
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                        Thank you,
17
     Sen. Fraser.
1.8
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 Thank you.
19
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Nobody else?
2.0
                   All right, members. Sen. Gallegos -- I
21
     thought I had lost count.
22
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: A question of the
23
     author.
2.4
                    SEN. FRASER: This is the three-minute
     rule. Are we using the egg timer rule?
25
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004071

JA 003494

```
SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, you already
 1
 2
     surpassed that, so I thought I would --
 3
                    SEN. FRASER: No.
                                     I'm still just
     answering your questions.
 4
 5
                    SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                   Well, Senator, let me
 6
     ask you, have you thought about the state of our
 7
     economy and the steadily rising number of foreclosures
 8
     taking place? And I'm talking about this bill.
 9
     last week, the Dallas Morning News reported that the
1.0
     Carrollton-Farmers Branch School District has seen
11
     185 percent increase in the 2008-2009 school year of
12
     homeless students.
13
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 Senator, can you help me
14
            I'm having trouble. I'm looking at the bill,
15
     and I'm having trouble finding the place that has to
16
     do with foreclosures.
17
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, no, no, no.
18
     getting to my question, if you allow me.
19
                    SEN. FRASER: I will.
20
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: These are people in the
21
     State of Texas whose entire families are affected.
22
     And the homeless, as you know, well know, they move
23
     around a lot. They're U.S. citizens, and they move
24
     around a lot and stay in cars, in shelters and
25
     sometimes relatives' houses. But the important thing
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC 512.474.2233
TX_00004072
JA_003495

is that they do not have a permanent residence, even though they're U.S. citizens.

And I guess -- and they don't have utility bills, they aren't on a current regular schedule. And to show, if that is asked for when they go to a precinct to vote, I guess my question is, under your bill, under this scenario, is there a limit on how many times they can get an official DPS ID to vote every couple of weeks?

SEN. FRASER: Well, first of all, I'm confused in your description of this, because for someone to register to vote and be legal to vote, they have to specify the precinct that they're in. And that's one -- I think one of the requirements that the Secretary of State looked for, is that you have to be a resident voting in a specific precinct, and they had to mail that to somewhere. But the answer to your question that you were getting to is, is there a limit on the number of IDs they can get? And, no, there is no limit.

SEN. GALLEGOS: There is no limit under your bill?

SEN. FRASER: No limit.

SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. All right. Thank

25 you.

1.3

1.4

1.7

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004073

JA 003496

SEN. FRASER: Unlimited IDs. 1 2 SEN. GALLEGOS: There's unlimited ID. 3 Okay. All right. Thank you. 4 SEN. FRASER: Thank you. 5 Okav, members. SEN. DUNCAN: 6 are no other questions, we are now ready to move into 7 the invited testimony phase of the hearing. So at 8 this point in time, I think I have been submitted --9 actually, we had the Secretary of State collect the 10 list from the author and those who might be opposed to the bill. 11 12 And, as stated earlier, I will first invite -- we'll have Hans von Spakovsky to testify 13 14 first. He is proposed by Sen. Fraser. And then 15 followed by that, we'll have Tova Andrea Wang, who is 16 proposed by Sen. Van de Putte. If we could bring them 17 into the chamber. And we will have a timer that will 18 be 10 minutes. 19 Sen. Van de Putte, I believe you had a 20 witness that you needed a little bit longer time. Is 21 this the witness? 22 Okay. And, members, again I'll state 23 again, we will not recognize anybody for a question 24 during the 10-minute period of time for layout. 25 Thereafter, we will allow questions. I'll remind you

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004074

JA 003497

```
that we have the public testimony that will follow
 1
 2
     after the invited testimony, so be efficient. But,
 3
     you know, you're entitled to ask your questions.
 4
                    So is Mr. von Spakovsky in the chamber?
 5
                    And for the sake of time, if we could go
     ahead and bring Tova Andrea Wang into the chamber.
 6
 7
                    Is this -- who is this?
                    (Off-the-record discussion)
 8
 9
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Mr. von Spakovsky.
10
                    Okay. She will go second.
1.1
                    Okay, Mr. von Spakovsky, you're
12
     recognized.
                   You need to state your name and who you
13
                  I believe you have turned in a witness
     represent.
14
     affirmation card. You have 10 minutes.
                                                That will be
15
     strictly enforced. And you have a timer there in
16
     front of you. You can begin.
17
                    (Proceedings continued in Volume 1B)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004075 JA 003498 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS
EIGHTY-FIRST LEGISLATURE
(COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE SENATE)
AUSTIN, TEXAS

IN RE:

TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2009

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT AT 5:50 p..m., on Tuesday, the 10th day of March 2009, the above-entitled matter continued at the Texas State Capitol Senate Chamber, Austin, Texas, before the Committee of the Whole Senate; and the following proceedings were reported by Aloma J. Kennedy, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of:

VOLUME 1B

PAGES 209 - 480

REPORTING
SERVICE

a record of excellence

1801 Lavaca • Suite 115 • Austin, Texas 78701 • 512-474-2233



		i
7	MADLE OF COMMENTS	
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	VOLUME 1A	
4	PROCEEDINGS, TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2009	2
5	ROLL CALL NO. 1	2
6	OPENING INSTRUCTIONS BY SEN. DUNCAN	5
7	OBJECTION TO FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF SB 362 (SEN. WEST)	12
8	ROLL CALL NO. 2	38
9	LAYING OUT OF SENATE BILL 362 (SEN FRASER)	44
11	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	53
12	VOLUME 1B	
13	INVITED TESTIMONY	210
14	TESTIMONY BY HANS VON SPAKOVSKY QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	210 218
15 16	TESTIMONY BY TOVA ANDREA WANG QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	277 287
17	TESTIMONY BY CAMERON QUINN QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	300 306
18 19	TESTIMONY BY TOBY MOORE QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	336 344
20	TESTIMONY BY FRANK B. STRICKLAND QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	373 417
21	TESTIMONY BY ADAM SKAGGS	408
22	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	417
23 24	TESTIMONY OF ROBERT A. SIMMS SUBMITTED BY WES TAILOR	435
25	TESTIMONY BY J. GERALD HEBERT QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	442 450

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004077 JA_003500

_		
		I
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	VOLUME 2	
4	PROCEEDINGS, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 11, 2009	482
5	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR (CONTINUED)	482
6		
7	TESTIMONY BY THOMAS WHEELER QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	502 510
8	TESTIMONY BY CHANDLER DAVIDSON	521
9	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	527
10	TESTIMONY BY ED JOHNSON QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	559 566
11	TESTIMONY BY DANIEL B. KOHRMAN	621
12 13	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	628
13	TESTIMONY BY COBY SHORTER QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	653 655
15	TESTIMONY BY DENNIS BOREL QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	706 713
16	TESTIMONY BY GARY GLEDSOE QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	724 731
17	TESTIMONY BY ERIC NICHOLS	742
18	QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	750
19		
20	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	771
21	CLAIRE OXLEY GLUCK	771
22	HAZEL COTTON QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	773 775
23	KATHY HICKS	776
24	JAMES E. CARTER RUSTY HICKS	779 781
25		
į	I	

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004078 JA_003501

		4.4.
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	PUBLIC TESTIMONY (CONTINUED)	PAGE
3	TINA BENKISER	784
4	B.R. SKIPPER WALLACE ANITA PRIVETT	787 789
5	MARY ANN COLLINS	792
6	ROSA ROSALES QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	794 797
7	DUSTIN RYNDERS	800
8		803
9	MARSHA CORREIRA QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	803
10	RENE LARA	807
11	LEE MEDLEY JOHN WATKINS	810 811
12	KENNETH FLIPPEN ANNIE BANKS	813 816
13	RACHEL HERNANDEZ RENATO DE LOS SANTOS	817 819
14	JUDY HOLLOWAY LYDIA CAMARILLO	823 825
15	EDWARD B. WILLIAMS MADELEINE DEWAR	828 830
16	HELEN VILLARREAL MARK WILLIAMSON	833 835
17	VANESSA FOSTER	838
18	LUIS FIGUERO QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR	8 4 0 8 4 4
19	PATTI EDELMAN	844
20	SYLVIA MENDOZA KENNETH KOYM	8 4 6 8 4 8
21	KAREN RENICK JONI ASHBROOK	850 853
22	DUANE RAWSON ROD FLUKER	856 858
23	ROLL CALL NO. 3	864
24	DDOGHED INGG. GONGI UDED	0.00
25	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED	869

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004079

JA_003502

iv

					iv
-					
1		EXHIBIT INDEX			
2			MARKED	ADMITTED	
3	1A	Sen. Van de Putte 3/3/09 Memo to Sen. Duncan re ground rules			
4		for Committee of the Whole Pubic hearing	21	21	
5	1B	Sen. Duncan 3/5/09 Memo to Sen.			
6 7		Van de Putte re response to concerns about ground rules for the Committee of the Whole			
8		Senate	21	21	
9	2.	Letter to Texas Attorney General Greg Abbott re: Hearing on SB			
10		362, signed by 11 Senators	21	21	
11	3.	Senate Notice of Public Hearing on SB 362 for 3/10/09	21	21	
12	4.	Texas Senate Agenda, 3/10/09	21	21	
13	5A	3/10/09 Tag Form signed by Sen. Royce West, et al	21	21	
14 15	5B	3/10/09 Tag Form signed by Sen. Mario Gallegos	21	21	
16	6.	Roll Call No. 2 - Sen. Gallegos' Appeal of Ruling			
17		of Chair on Sen. West's Point of Order	120	120	
18	7.	Institute of Public Policy			
19		Publication entitled "The Effects of Photographic			
20		Identification on Voter Turnout in Indiana: A			
21		County-Level Analysis" by Jeffrey Milyo, Report			
22		10-2007, Revised December 2007	120	120	
23					
24					
25					
ĺ					

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004080 JA_003503

ı	1			7	<i>7</i>
1		EXHIBIT INDEX (continu	ned)		
2		DANIBII INBBA (CONCIN.	MARKED	ADMITTED	
3	8.	AU News publication entitled			
4		"Much-hyped Turnout Record Fails to Materialize -			
5		Convenience Voting Fails to Boost Balloting"	120	120	
6	9.	Symposium paper entitled "The Empirical Effects of			
7		Voter-ID Laws: Present or Absent?" by Jason D. Mycoff,			
8		Michael W. Wagner and David C. Wilson	120	120	
9	10.	9/10/07 Report of the			
10		Heritage Center for Data Analysis entitled "New			
11		Analysis Shows Voter Identification Laws Do Not			
12		Reduce Turnout" by David B. Muhlhausen and Keri Weber			
13		Sikich	120	120	
14	11.	New York Times article - September 23, 2005 - entitled			
15		"Voting Reform is in the Card's," by Jimmy Carter			
16		and James A. Baker III	160	160	
17	12.	Harvey Kronberg's Quorum Report April 23, 2007, entitled "Royal			
18		Masset: The Voter ID Bill Will Kill My Mother's Right to Vote"	160	160	
19	13.	2/3/08 article entitled "A	100	100	
20	,	Clearer Picture on Voter ID" by Jimmy Carter and James A.			
21		Baker III	160	160	
22	14.	Testimony of Hans A. von			
23		Spakovsky, March 10, 2009, re SB 362	217	2.17	
24					
25					

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004081

JA_003504

vi

	EXHIBIT INDEX (continu	ed)	
		MARKED	ADMITTED
15A	6/11/07 Letter to Senate Committee on Rules and Administration re Hans A.		
	von Spakovsky nomination	254	254
15B	6/12/07 Article entitled "Obama Raises Concerns Over FEC Nominee's Record of		
	Partisanship"	254	254
15C	10/3/07 Letter to the U.S. Senate from Public Citizen	254	254
16.	Institute of Public Policy Publication entitled, "The Effects of Photographic		
	Identification on Voter Turnout in Indiana: A		
	County-Level Analysis" by Jeffrey Milyo, Report		
<u> </u> {	10-2007, Revised December 2007 (SAME AS EXHIBIT 7)	265	265
17.	Testimony of Tova Andrea Wang, Vice President, Research Common Cause, March 10, 2009, re SB 362	300	300
18.	Report of the Commission on Federal Election Reform entitled,		
	"Building Confidence in U.S. Elections," September 2005	313	313

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004082

JA_003505

vii

1		V aka aka
1		EXHIBIT INDEX (continued)
2		MARKED ADMITTED
3	19.	Fifteen letters to the Hon. Dianne Feinstein, Chair, and the
4		Hon. Robert F. Bennett, ranking
5		minority member, U.S. Senate Committee on Rules and
6		Administration:
7		<pre>1. 6/29/07 letter from Hans A. von Spakovsky</pre>
8		 3/22/07 letter from various members of Congress
9		3. 3/13/07 letter from William H. Jordan
10		4. 2/08/07 letter from Gary J. Smith
11		5. 2/26/07 letter from P. K. Brunelli
12		6. 3/01/07 letter from J. A. Borras
13		7. 2/21/07 letter from Trey Grayson
14		8. 2/20/07 letter from Beverly B. Kaufman
15	:	9. 2/19/07 letter from Todd Rokita
16		10. 2/16/07 letter from Frank B. Strickland
17		11. 2/14/07 letter from Tom Lowe 12. 2/13/07 letter from
18		T. Rogers Wade 13. 2/14/06 letter from Johnny
19		Isakson 14. 2/09/07 letter from Wesley
20		R. Kliner, Jr. 15. 3/13/07 letter from Ray
21		Martinez III 333 333
22	20.	Brennan Center For Justice letter dated October 3, 2007, by
23		Executive Director Michael Waldman, with attachments 335 335
24		
25		
_ ~		

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004083

JA_003506

viii

				V L L L
1		EXHIBIT INDEX (continu	ed)	
2			MARKED	ADMITTED
3	21.	Prepared Remarks of Dr. Toby Moore, Research Triangle,		
4		regarding "Evidence of the impact of voter ID requirements		
5		and the prospects of US DOJ preclearance, " March 10, 2009	358	358
6	22.	Harris County Map submitted	300	300
7	22.	by Sen. Gallegos	366	366
8	23.	Testimony of Frank B. Strickland re SB 362		
9		March 10, 2009	373	373
10	24.	Testimony of Adam Skaggs, Counsel, Democracy Program,		
11		Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law, regarding		
12		The Myth of Voter Impersonation Fraud at the Polls		
13		March 10, 2009	408	408
14	25.	Written Testimony of Robert A. Simms, Georgia Deputy Secretary		
15		of State, presented to the United States Senate Committee		
16		on Rules and Administration, submitted by Wes Tailor	435	435
17	26.	Testimony of J. Gerald Hebert	100	
18	20.	re SB 362, March 10, 2009	442	442
19	27.	Letter from Rene Guerra (March 6, 2009) Criminal District Attorney		
20		of Hidalgo County, Submitted by Sen. Lucio	479	479
21	28.	3/4/09 Letter from Todd	1,7	* 1 >
22	20,	Rokita, Indiana Secretary of State, to Sen. Fraser		
23		re SB 362	502	502
24				
25				

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004084

JA_003507